arXiv:1205.2310v1 [cs.FL] 10 May 2012

A note on the factorization conjecture [*]

Clelia De Felice
Dipartimento di Informatica,
Università di Salerno, via Ponte Don Melillo,
84084 Fisciano (SA), Italy
defelice@dia.unisa.it

March 3, 2013

Abstract

We give partial results on the factorization conjecture on codes proposed by Schützenberger. We consider finite maximal codes C over the alphabet $A = \{a, b\}$ with $C \cap a^* = a^p$, for a prime number p. Let $P, S \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A\rangle$, with $S = S_0 + S_1$, $\mathrm{supp}(S_0) \subset a^*$ and $\mathrm{supp}(S_1) \subset a^*b \cdot \mathrm{supp}(S_0)$. We prove that if (P, S) is a factorization for C then (P, S) is positive, that is P, S have coefficients $0, 1$, and we characterize the structure of these codes. As a consequence, we prove that if C is a finite maximal code such that each word in C has at most 4 occurrences of b's and $a^p \in C$, then each factorization for C is a positive factorization. We also discuss the structure of these codes. The obtained results show once again relations between (positive) factorizations and factorizations of cyclic groups.

1 Introduction

The theory of *variable-length codes* is a topic with elegant mathematical results and strong connections with automata theory. It originated at the end of the 60's with Schützenberger, who proposed in [28] the semigroup theory as a mathematical setting for the study of the uniquely decipherable sets of words in the context of information theory (see [2] for a complete treatment of this topic and also [1] for a viewpoint focused on applications of codes). In this paper we follow this algebraic approach and codes are defined as the *bases* of the free submonoids of a free monoid.

A well known class of codes is that of *prefix* codes, i.e., codes such that none of their words is a left factor of another one. A classical representation of a prefix code C over an alphabet A is as a set of leaves on a tree. In this case, the set of the internal nodes represents the set of the proper left factors P of C and C is *maximal* (i.e., we cannot add any word to C without losing the uniquely decipherable property) if and only if each internal node has a number of children equal to the cardinality of A. Thus, it is clearly evident that $C = PA \setminus P$ or, in terms of noncommutative polynomials, $\underline{C} - 1 = \underline{P}(\underline{A} - 1)$ (here 1 is the empty word and $\underline{X}$ denotes the *characteristic polynomial* of a finite language X, i.e., the formal sum of their elements).

One of the conjectures proposed by Schützenberger, known as the *factorization conjecture* asks whether a more general equation can be stated for a finite (not necessarily prefix) maximal code C, namely whether finite subsets P, S of A^* exist such that $\underline{C} - 1 = \underline{P}(\underline{A} - 1)\underline{S}$ [22, 29]. This longstanding open question, one of the most important in the theory of codes, is inspired

---

[*] Partially supported by the 60% Project *"Aspetti computazionali e proprietà algebriche degli automi e dei linguaggi formali"* (University of Salerno, 2011)

by a problem of information theory [23]. Only partial results are known (see [2]). The major contribution to this conjecture is due to Reutenauer [26, 27]. In particular he proved that if C is a finite maximal code then $\underline{C} - 1 = P(\underline{A} - 1)S$, where $P, S \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A \rangle$ are polynomials with integer coefficients. We call (P, S) a *factorization* for C. Then, if the factorization conjecture were true, there would exist a "privileged" *positive factorization* (P, S) for C, where P, S have coefficients $0, 1$. This is always the case for codes over a one-letter alphabet [18]. However, in this case factorizations which are not positive exist. In the case of a one-letter alphabet, it has also been conjectured that if (P, S) is a factorization for C and S has coefficients $0, 1$ then the same holds for P [19].

There are no many examples of factorizations which are not positive. On the contrary, *every* factorization (P, S) for C is positive if C is a finite maximal code over a two-letter alphabet $\{a, b\}$ with $m \leq 3$ occurrences of the letter b in its words [9, 16, 24]. In this paper we investigate further in this direction. We consider finite maximal code C over $A = \{a, b\}$ and we prove the following results.

For a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A \rangle$, let P_g be polynomials such that a word $w \in A^*$ has a non zero coefficient α in P_g if and only if w has g occurrences of the letter b and w has the same non zero coefficient α in P. Let $P, S \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A \rangle$, with $S = S_0 + S_1$, and assume that for any $a^i b a^j$ having a non zero coefficient in S_1, a^j has also a non zero coefficient in S_0. We prove that if (P, S) is a factorization for C and $C \cap a^* = a^p$, for a prime number p, then (P, S) is positive. As a consequence, we prove that if C is a $(p, 4)$-code, that is each word in C has at most 4 occurrences of b's and $a^p \in C$, then each factorization for C is positive. More generally, let S be a polynomial with nonnegative coefficients and such that for any wba^j having a non zero coefficient in S, a^j has also a non zero coefficient in S_0. We prove that if (P, S) is a factorization for C, with $a^p \in C$, then P, S have coefficients $0, 1$. This result is related to the above mentioned conjecture in [19]. In the same direction, we remove the hypothesis on the power of a in C and we prove that if (P, S) is a factorization for C such that S_0, S_1, P_0 have coefficients $0, 1$, with $S_1 \subset a^* b S_0$, then P_1 has nonnegative coefficients.

Another objective is the description of the structure of the *(positively) factorizing* codes, i.e., codes satisfying the factorization conjecture. We attach this problem for $(p, 4)$-codes and for codes C such that $C = P(A - 1)S + 1$, $S = S_0 + S_1$, $S_1 \subset a^* b S_0$. In particular, we characterize the structure of all codes in the latter family. It is worth noting that there are several papers devoted to this problem [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 24]. In particular, the structure of m-codes, $m \leq 3$, has been characterized as well as that of codes C such that $C = P(A - 1)S + 1$ with $P \subset A^*$, $S \subset a^*$. In all these cases, there are relations between (positive) factorizations and factorizations of cyclic groups. The results proved in this paper once again show these relations.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we set up the basic definitions and known results we need. In Section 3 we give an outline of the results on the factorization conjecture and we prove these results in Section 4. In Section 5 we recall some known results and give an outline of new results on positively factorizing codes. The new results will be stated in Sections 6, 7 and 8. Finally, in Section 9, we discuss some open problems that follow on from these results.

2 Basics

2.1 Codes and words

Let A^* be the *free monoid* generated by a finite alphabet A and let $A^+ = A^* \setminus 1$ where 1 is the empty word. For a word $w \in A^*$ and a letter $a \in A$, we denote by $|w|$ the *length* of w

and by $|w|_a$ the number of the occurrences of a's in w. When $|w|_a = r$, we will say that w has r a's. The *reversal* of a word $w = a_1 \ldots a_n$, $a_i \in A$, is the word $w^\sim = a_n \ldots a_1$ and we set $X^\sim = \{w^\sim \mid w \in X\}$.

A *code* C is a subset of A^* such that, for all $h, k \geq 0$ and $c_1, \ldots, c_h, c'_1, \ldots, c'_k \in C$, we have

$$c_1 \cdots c_h = c'_1 \cdots c'_k \quad \Rightarrow \quad h = k \quad \text{and} \quad c_i = c'_i \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, h$$

A set $C \subseteq A^+$ such that $C \cap CA^+ = \emptyset$ is a *prefix* code. C is a *suffix* code if $C^\sim$ is a prefix code and C is a *biprefix* code when C is both a suffix and a prefix code. A code C is a *maximal* code over A if for each code C' over A such that $C \subseteq C'$ we have $C = C'$.

2.2 Polynomials

Let $\mathbb{Z}\langle A \rangle$ (resp. $\mathbb{N}\langle A \rangle$) denote the semiring of the *polynomials* with noncommutative variables in A and integer (resp. nonnegative integer) coefficients. Henceforth we will use the same capital letter to designate a finite subset X of A^* and its *characteristic polynomial* $\underline{X} = \sum_{x \in X} x$. Therefore, "characteristic polynomial" will be synonymous with "polynomial with coefficients $0, 1$". For a polynomial P and a word $w \in A^*$, (P, w) denotes the coefficient of w in P and we set $\mathrm{supp}(P) = \{w \in A^* \mid (P, w) \neq 0\}$. When we write $P \geq 0$, we mean $P \in \mathbb{N}\langle A \rangle$. Furthermore, $P^\sim$ is defined by $(P^\sim, w^\sim) = (P, w)$, for each $w \in A^*$. For $P \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A \rangle$, $A = \{a, b\}$ and $g \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote P_g polynomials such that

$$\forall w \in A^* \quad (P_g, w) = \begin{cases} (P, w) & \text{if } |w|_b = g, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then for any $P \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A \rangle$, there exists $h \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P = P_0 + \ldots + P_h$. The notation $a^H = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (H, n) a^n$ will also be used with $H \in \mathbb{N}\langle 1 \rangle$, i.e., with H being a finite multiset of nonnegative integers. Computation rules are also defined: $a^{M+L} = a^M a^L$, $a^{M \cup L} = a^M + a^L$, $a^\emptyset = 0$, $a^0 = 1$.

2.3 Factorization conjecture

Conjecture 2.1, given in a weaker form in [23], is among the most difficult and still unsolved problems in the theory of codes. This conjecture was formulated by Schützenberger and, as far as we know, it does not appear explicitly in any of his papers. It was quoted as the *factorization conjecture* in [22] for the first time and then also reported in [2, 3, 7].

Conjecture 2.1 *[29] Given a finite maximal code C, there are finite subsets P, S of A^* such that:*

$$C - 1 = P(A - 1)S \tag{2.1}$$

Each pair (P, S) of finite subsets of A^* satisfying Eq.(2.1), will be called a *positive factorization* for C. Each code C verifying the previous conjecture is finite, maximal and is called a *(positively) factorizing code.*

Finite maximal prefix codes are the simplest examples of positively factorizing codes. Indeed, C is a finite maximal prefix code if and only if $C = P(A - 1) + 1$ for a finite subset P of A^* [2]. In the previous relation, P is the set of the proper prefixes of the words in C. More interesting constructions of factorizing codes can be found in [4, 5, 6] whereas the result which is closest to a solution of the conjecture is reported in Theorem 2.1 and was obtained by Reutenauer [2, 3, 26, 27].

Theorem 2.1 *[27] Let $C \in \mathbb{N}\langle A\rangle$, with $(C,1) = 0$, and let $P, S \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A\rangle$ be such that $C = P(A-1)S+1$. Then, C is a finite maximal code. Furthermore, if $P, S \in \mathbb{N}\langle A\rangle$, then P, S is a positive factorization for C. Conversely, let C be a finite maximal code. Then, there exist $P, S \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A\rangle$ such that $C = P(A-1)S+1$.*

Given a finite maximal code C, a *factorization* (P, S) for C is a pair of polynomials $P, S \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A\rangle$ such that $C = P(A-1)S+1$. Of course (P, S) is a factorization for C if and only if the same holds for $(-P, -S)$ and moreover $(S^{\sim}, P^{\sim})$ is a factorization for $C^{\sim}$. From now on, $A = \{a, b\}$ will be a two-letter alphabet.

3 Outline of the results on the factorization conjecture

Let C be a finite maximal code over A, let (P, S) be a factorization for C (Theorem 2.1). Then $P, S \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A\rangle$ are such that $C = P(A-1)S+1$. Thus the set $C_r = \{w \in C \mid |w|_b = r\}$ of the words in C with r b's is the sum of the terms of degree r with respect to the variable b in the polynomial $P(A-1)S+1$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= P_0(a-1)S_0 + 1, \\ \forall r > 0 \quad C_r &= \sum_{i+j=r} P_i(a-1)S_j + \sum_{i+j=r-1} P_i b S_j \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.1 Consider the finite maximal code defined by the relation $C = P(A-1)S+1$, with

$$\begin{aligned} P &= 1 + a^2ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\}} + a^2ba^3ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\}} \\ S &= a^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}} + a^{\{0,1\}}ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}} \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &= 1, \quad P_1 = a^2ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\}}, \quad P_2 = a^2ba^3ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\}} \\ S_0 &= a^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}}, \quad S_1 = a^{\{0,1\}}ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}} \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= P_0(a-1)S_0 + 1 = (a-1)a^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}} + 1 = a^5 \\ C_1 &= P_0bS_0 + P_1(a-1)S_0 + P_0(a-1)S_1 \\ &= ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}} + a^2ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\}}(a-1)a^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}} + (a-1)a^{\{0,1\}}ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}} \\ &= a^2ba^{\{7,8,9,10,11\}} \\ C_2 &= P_1bS_0 + P_0bS_1 + P_1(a-1)S_1 + P_2(a-1)S_0 \\ &= a^2ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\}}ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}} + ba^{\{0,1\}}ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}} + \\ &\quad a^2ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\}}(a-1)a^{\{0,1\}}ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}} + a^2ba^3ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\}}(a-1)a^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}} \\ &= ba^{\{0,1\}}ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}} + a^2ba^{\{2,4,5,6,7,8\}}ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}} + a^2ba^3ba^{\{7,8,9,10,11\}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_3 &= P_1bS_1 + P_2bS_0 + P_2(a-1)S_1 \\
&= a^2ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\}}ba^{\{0,1\}}ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}} + a^2ba^3ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\}}ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}} + \\
&\quad a^2ba^3ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\}}(a-1)a^{\{0,1\}}ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}} \\
&= a^2ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\}}ba^{\{0,1\}}ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}} + a^2ba^3ba^{\{2,3,4,5,6,7,8\}}ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}} \\
C_4 &= P_2bS_1 = a^2ba^3ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\}}ba^{\{0,1\}}ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}}
\end{aligned}$$

The factorization (P,S) for C in Example 3.1 is positive. We notice that $a^p \in C$, with p a prime number and $S = S_0 + S_1$ with $\mathrm{supp}(S_1) \subset a^*b \cdot \mathrm{supp}(S_0)$. We will prove that *any* factorization (P,S) for a code C satisfying these two hypotheses is necessarily positive.

In the proof of this result we may assume that $P = P_0 + \ldots + P_k$ with $k \geq 2$ and $S = S_0 + S_1$ with $S_1 \neq 0$. Indeed we recall below that P, S is always positive if $k \leq 1$ (and $S = S_0 + S_1$) or if $\mathrm{supp}(S) \subset a^*$. Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, an $m-$code C is a finite maximal code over $\{a,b\}$ such that each word in C has at most m b's. The following result has been proved in [24] for $m = 1$, in [16] for $m = 2$ and in [9] for $m = 3$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \leq 3$. Any m-code C is positively factorizing. Moreover, each factorization for C is a positive factorization for C.*

The following result has been proved in [9]

Theorem 3.2 *Let C be a finite maximal code, let (P,S) be a factorization for C. If $P \in \mathbb{Z}\langle a\rangle$ (resp. $S \in \mathbb{Z}\langle a\rangle$) then (P,S) is a positive factorization for C.*

If additionally $a^p \in C$, we have $C_0 = a^p = P_0(a-1)S_0 + 1$, i.e., $P_0S_0 = 1 + a + \ldots + a^{p-1}$. Since for a prime number p, the polynomial $1 + a + \ldots + a^{p-1}$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[a]$ (see Example, p. 129 in [21]), we have $P_0 = 1 + a + \ldots + a^{p-1}$, $S_0 = 1$ or $P_0 = 1$, $S_0 = 1 + a + \ldots + a^{p-1}$.

Another result, proved in [9], is recalled below: P_k, S_1 always have coefficients $0, 1$.

Theorem 3.3 *Let C be a finite maximal code, let (U,V) be a factorization for C. Then either $(P,S) = (U,V)$ or $(P,S) = (-U,-V)$ satisfies the following conditions, where $P = \sum_{i=0}^{k} P_i$, $S = \sum_{i=0}^{h} S_i$.*

(i) P_k and S_h have coefficients $0, 1$.

(ii) Either $P_{k-1} \in \mathbb{N}\langle A\rangle \setminus 0$ and $P_k = \sum_{p \in \mathrm{supp}(P_{k-1})} pba^{L_p}$ or $S_{h-1} \in \mathbb{N}\langle A\rangle \setminus 0$ and $S_h = \sum_{s \in \mathrm{supp}(S_{h-1})} a^{M_s}bs$

In conclusion, we already know that P_0, S have coefficients $0, 1$. In Section 4.1 we will prove that $P = P_0 + \ldots + P_k$ has also coefficients $0, 1$. More generally, let S be a polynomial with nonnegative coefficients and such that $a^j \in \mathrm{supp}(S_0)$, for any $wba^j \in \mathrm{supp}(S)$. Let (P,S) be a factorization for C, with $a^p \in C$. In Lemma 4.1 we will prove that if $P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_r$ have nonnegative coefficients, the same holds for P_{r+1}. As a consequence of this result, (P,S) is a positive factorization for C (Theorems 4.1, 4.2).

Regarding the factorization conjecture, we will prove two other results. In Section 4.2, we consider $(p,4)$-codes, i.e., 4-codes C such that $C \cap a^* = a^p$. It is easy to prove that factorizations (P,S) for C such that $S = S_0 + S_1$ with $\mathrm{supp}(S_1) \not\subset a^*b \cdot \mathrm{supp}(S_0)$ are also positive. Therefore we show that all $(p,4)$-codes have only positive factorizations. Notice that in [30] it has been proved that an $m-$code C is positively factorizing if $b^m \in C$ and m is a prime number or $m = 4$.

Finally, in Section 4.3 we will prove that P_1 has nonnegative coefficients under weaker hypotheses on P, S, C. Precisely, we remove the hypothesis on the power of a in C and we prove that if (P, S) is a factorization for C such that S_0, S_1, P_0 have coefficients $0, 1$, with $S_1 \subset a^*bS_0$, then $P_1 \in \mathbb{N}\langle A\rangle$. In the proof of this result we point out properties of P_1 and S_1 that will be used in Sections 6 and 8 for the construction of factorizing codes.

4 Main results

4.1 Factorizations (P, S) with a few numbers of b in S

In this section we prove our main result: if C is a finite maximal code over $\{a, b\}$ with $C \cap a^* = a^p$, for a prime number p, and P, S is a factorization for C with $S = S_0 + S_1$, $\mathrm{supp}(S_1) \subset a^*b{\cdot}\mathrm{supp}(S_0)$ then P, S have coefficients $0, 1$. This result is a direct consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 *Let* $S_0 = a^J$ *with* $J = \{0\}$ *or* $J = \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$, *where* p *is a prime number. Let* $S = S_0 + S_1 + \ldots S_t \in \mathbb{N}\langle A\rangle$, *with* $\mathrm{supp}(S_h) \subset A^*bS_0$, $1 \le h \le t$. *Let* $r \ge 0$ *and assume that* $P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_{r+1} \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A\rangle$ *are polynomials such that*

$$C_{r+1} = \sum_{i+h=r+1} P_i(a-1)S_h + \sum_{i+h=r} P_i bS_h \ge 0$$

If $P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_r \in \mathbb{N}\langle A\rangle$ *then* $P_{r+1} \in \mathbb{N}\langle A\rangle$.

PROOF :
Assume that $J = \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$ (if $J = \{0\}$ we may apply the following argument with $p = 1$). By contradiction, let $P_{r+1} = P'_{r+1} - P''_{r+1}$ with $P'_{r+1}, P''_{r+1} \in \mathbb{N}\langle A\rangle$, $\mathrm{supp}(P'_{r+1}) \cap \mathrm{supp}(P''_{r+1}) = \emptyset$ and $P''_{r+1} \neq 0$. Let $\ell = max\{m \mid \exists x \in A^* \; xba^m \in \mathrm{supp}(P''_{r+1})\}$ and let $x \in A^*$ be such that $y = xba^\ell \in \mathrm{supp}(P''_{r+1})$.
Since $\mathrm{supp}(S_h) \subset A^*bS_0$, $1 \le h \le t$, we have $(\sum_{i+h=r+1,\, h\neq 0} P_i(a-1)S_h + \sum_{i+h=r} P_ibS_h, ya^p) = 0$. Furthermore, by definition of y, we also have $(P''_{r+1}(a^p - 1), ya^p) = (P''_{r+1}a^p, ya^p) > 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\le (C_{r+1}, ya^p) \\ &= (P_{r+1}(a-1)a^J, ya^p) = (P_{r+1}(a^p - 1), ya^p) \\ &= (P'_{r+1}(a^p - 1), ya^p) - (P''_{r+1}(a^p - 1), ya^p) \\ &\le (P'_{r+1}a^p, ya^p) - (P''_{r+1}(a^p - 1), ya^p) \\ &< (P'_{r+1}a^p, ya^p) = (P'_{r+1}, y) \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion $(P'_{r+1}, y) > 0$ and $y \in \mathrm{supp}(P'_{r+1}) \cap \mathrm{supp}(P''_{r+1})$, a contradiction. ■

The following observation is connected with a generalization of the conjecture given in [19] to alphabets with size greater than one.

Remark 4.1 Lemma 4.1 is no longer true if the hypothesis $\mathrm{supp}(S_h) \subset A^*b \cdot \mathrm{supp}(S_0)$ is dropped, even if S is a polynomial with coefficients $0, 1$. Indeed let $s, t, n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $s \ge 1$, $t \ge 0$, $n \ge 1$, let $P_0 = 1$, $P_1 = ba^{\{0,1,\ldots,t\}n} - a^sba^{tn}$, $S = a^{\{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}} + a^{\{0,1,\ldots,s-1\}}ba^{(t+1)n}$. Then $P_0bS_0 + P_1(a-1)S_0 + P_0(a-1)S_1 = ba^{\{1,\ldots,n-1\}} + a^sba^{tn}$ is a polynomial with coefficients $0, 1$.

Theorem 4.1 *Let* C *be a finite maximal code such that* $a^p \in C$, *with* p *being a prime number, and let* $P \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A\rangle$, $S = S_0 + S_1 + \ldots S_t \in \mathbb{N}\langle A\rangle$, *with* $\mathrm{supp}(S_h) \subset A^*b \cdot \mathrm{supp}(S_0)$, $1 \le h \le t$. *If* (P, S) *is a factorization for* C *then* P, S *have coefficients* $0, 1$.

PROOF :
Let $P = P_0 + P_1 + \ldots + P_k$ and $S = S_0 + S_1 + \ldots S_t \in \mathbb{N}\langle A\rangle$, with $\mathrm{supp}(S_h) \subset A^*b \cdot \mathrm{supp}(S_0)$, $1 \leq h \leq t$. Assume that (P, S) is a factorization for a finite maximal code C and $a^p \in C$, with p being a prime number. Therefore P_0, S_0 have coefficients $0, 1$. Precisely, $P_0 = 1 + a + \ldots + a^{p-1}$, $S_0 = 1$ or $P_0 = 1$, $S_0 = 1 + a + \ldots + a^{p-1}$. Furthermore, for any $r \geq 0$,

$$C_{r+1} = \sum_{i+h=r+1} P_i(a-1)S_h + \sum_{i+h=r} P_i b S_h \geq 0$$

By using induction and Lemma 4.1 (applied with $r \in \{0, \ldots k-1\}$) we have $P \in \mathbb{N}\langle A\rangle$. Then, by Theorem 2.1, P, S have coefficients $0, 1$. ■

Theorem 4.2 *Let C be a finite maximal code such that $a^p \in C$, with p being a prime number, and let $P, S \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A\rangle$, with $S = S_0 + S_1$, $\mathrm{supp}(S_1) \subset a^*b \cdot \mathrm{supp}(S_0)$. If (P, S) is a factorization for C then P, S have coefficients $0, 1$.*

PROOF :
Let $P = P_0 + P_1 + \ldots + P_k$, $k \geq 2$ and $S = S_0 + S_1$, with $\mathrm{supp}(S_1) \subset a^*b \cdot \mathrm{supp}(S_0)$. Assume that (P, S) is a factorization for a finite maximal code C and $a^p \in C$, with p being a prime number. By Theorem 3.3, S_1 (and P_k) have coefficients $0, 1$. Furthermore, since $a^p \in C$, P_0, S_0 have coefficients $0, 1$. Thus Theorem 4.1 applies to (P, S) and P, S have coefficients $0, 1$. ■

4.2 $(p, 4)$-codes are positively factorizing

In this section we consider $(p, 4)$-codes, i.e., 4-codes C such that $C \cap a^* = a^p$, p being a prime number. We show that they are positively factorizing and have only positive factorizations. Looking at equations in Section 3, factorizations for a 4-code may be divided into two sets, described in Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 4.2 *Let C be a 4-code, let (U, V) be a factorization for C. Then for $(P, S) = (U, V)$ or for $(P, S) = (V^{\sim}, U^{\sim})$ one of the following two conditions is satisfied.*

(1) $P = P_0 + P_1 + P_2 + P_3$, $S \in \mathbb{Z}\langle a\rangle$.

(2) $P = P_0 + P_1 + P_2$, $S = S_0 + S_1$.

We already know that factorizations for a 4-code satisfying item (1) in Lemma 4.2 are positive (Theorem 3.2). Consequently, we focus on factorizations (P, S) for a 4-code C that satisfy item (2) in Lemma 4.2, i.e., such that $P = P_0 + P_1 + P_2$, $S = S_0 + S_1$. Since $a^p \in C$, P_0, S_0 are polynomials with coefficients $0, 1$. Then, in view of item (i) in Theorem 3.3, P_2 and S_1 have coefficients $0, 1$. Consequently, S has coefficients $0, 1$. Furthermore, in view of item (ii) in Theorem 3.3, $P_1 \in N\langle A\rangle$ and $P_2 = \sum_{p \in \mathrm{supp}(P_1)} pba^{L_p}$ or $S = a^J + \sum_{j \in J} a^{M_j} ba^j$. In the first case, $P \in \mathbb{N}\langle A\rangle$, thus (P, S) is positive in view of Theorem 2.1. In the second case, (P, S) is also positive by Theorem 4.2. As a consequence of the above results we can state Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.3 *Let p be a prime number. Any $(p, 4)$-code C is positively factorizing. Moreover, each factorization for C is a positive factorization for C.*

4.3 Partially positive factorizations

We end this section with another result: if (P, S) is a factorization for C such that $P_0 = a^I$, $S_0 = a^J$ and $S_1 = \sum_{j\in J} a^{M_j} ba^j$, with $I, J, M_j \subset \mathbb{N}$, then $P_1 \in \mathbb{N}\langle A\rangle$ (Theorem 4.4). Notice that $P_0(a-1)S_0 = a^n - 1$, i.e., $a^I a^J = 1 + a + \ldots + a^{n-1}$, where $a^n \in C$. These pairs (I, J) of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ can be constructed by a method given in [18] and they will be called here *Krasner factorizations* of the finite cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_n$ of order n. Theorem 4.4 will be proved through Lemmas 4.3 - 4.6. These lemmas will also be used in Sections 6 and 8.

Lemma 4.3 *Let (I, J) be a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Let $P_1 \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A\rangle$, let M_j, $j \in J$, be finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ such that:*

$$a^I ba^J + P_1(a-1)a^J + \sum_{j\in J} a^I(a-1)a^{M_j} ba^j \quad \geq \quad 0 \tag{4.1}$$

Then we have $P_1 = \sum_{i\in I'} a^i b(a^{L_i} - a^{L'_i})$, where I' is a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ and $L_i, L'_i \in \mathbb{N}\langle 1\rangle$ are such that $\mathrm{supp}(L_i) \cap \mathrm{supp}(L'_i) = \emptyset$, *for each $i \in I'$.*

Proof :
Assume that Eq.(4.1) holds, with I, J, P_1, M_j, $j \in J$, as in the statement. Thus, since $P_1 \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A\rangle$, we have $P_1 = \sum_{i\in I'} a^i ba^{L_i} - \sum_{k\in K} a^k ba^{L'_k}$, where I', K are finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, $L_i, L'_k \in \mathbb{N}\langle 1\rangle$ and I', K, L_i, L'_k are such that $\mathrm{supp}(\sum_{i\in I'} a^i ba^{L_i}) \cap \mathrm{supp}(\sum_{k\in K} a^k ba^{L'_k}) = \emptyset$.

Let us prove that $K \subseteq I'$. By contradiction, assume that k' exists, with $k' \in K \setminus I'$. Let $\ell' = max\{\ell \mid \ell \in L'_{k'}\}$, $j' = max\{j \mid j \in J\}$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a^I ba^J + \sum_{j\in J} a^I(a-1)a^{M_j} ba^j, a^{k'} ba^{\ell'+1+j'}) = 0 \quad (\text{since } \ell' + 1 + j' \notin J\ ),\\
&(\sum_{i\in I'} a^i ba^{L_i}(a-1)a^J, a^{k'} ba^{\ell'+1+j'}) = 0 \quad (\text{since } k' \notin I'\ ),\\
&(P_1(a-1)a^J, a^{k'} ba^{\ell'+1+j'}) = -(\sum_{k\in K} a^k ba^{L'_k}(a-1)a^J, a^{k'} ba^{\ell'+1+j'})\\
= \quad & -(a^{k'} ba^{L'_{k'}}(a-1)a^J, a^{k'} ba^{\ell'+1+j'}) < 0 \quad (\text{since } \ell' + 1 + j' \notin L'_{k'} + J\ ).
\end{aligned}$$

The above relations yield:

$$(a^I ba^J + \sum_{j\in J} a^I(a-1)a^{M_j} ba^j + P_1(a-1)a^J, a^{k'} ba^{\ell'+1+j'}) \quad < \quad 0$$

which contradicts Eq.(4.1). Therefore, $K' \subseteq I'$ and since $P_1 = \sum_{i\in I'} a^i ba^{L_i} - \sum_{k\in K} a^k ba^{L'_k}$, with $\mathrm{supp}(\sum_{i\in I'} a^i ba^{L_i}) \cap \mathrm{supp}(\sum_{k\in K} a^k ba^{L'_k}) = \emptyset$, we have $P_1 = \sum_{i\in I'} a^i b(a^{L_i} - a^{L'_i})$, where I' is a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ and $L_i, L'_i \in \mathbb{N}\langle 1\rangle$ are such that $\mathrm{supp}(L_i) \cap \mathrm{supp}(L'_i) = \emptyset$, for each $i \in I'$. ∎

Lemma 4.4 *Let (I, J) be a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Let I', M_j, with $j \in J$, be finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, let $L_i, L'_i \in \mathbb{N}\langle 1\rangle$, with $i \in I'$, be such that:*

$$a^I b a^J + \sum_{i \in I'} a^i b(a^{L_i} - a^{L'_i})(a-1)a^J + \sum_{j \in J} a^I (a-1) a^{M_j} b a^j \geq 0 \tag{4.2}$$

Then, for each $i \in I'$, there exists $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$a^{L_i}(a-1)a^J - a^{L'_i}(a-1)a^J + k_i a^J \quad \geq \quad 0 \tag{4.3}$$

PROOF :
Assume that I', M_j, with $j \in J$, L_i, L'_i, with $i \in I'$, are as in the statement. By using Eq.(4.2), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall i \in I' \quad 0 \leq (a^I, a^i)a^J + (a^{L_i} - a^{L'_i})(a-1)a^J + \sum_{j \in J} (a^I(a-1)a^{M_j}, a^i)a^j \\ &\leq (a^I, a^i)a^J + (a^{L_i} - a^{L'_i})(a-1)a^J + \sum_{j \in J,\ (a^I(a-1)a^{M_j}, a^i) \geq 0} (a^I(a-1)a^{M_j}, a^i)a^j \\ &\leq a^{L_i}(a-1)a^J - a^{L'_i}(a-1)a^J + k_i a^J, \end{aligned}$$

where $k_i = k'_i + (a^I, a^i)$, $k'_i = max_{j \in J,\, \lambda_j \geq 0}\ \lambda_j$, $\lambda_j = (a^I(a-1)a^{M_j}, a^i)$. ■

Lemma 4.5 *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $X, X' \in \mathbb{N}\langle 1 \rangle$, with* $\mathrm{supp}(X) \cap \mathrm{supp}(X') = \emptyset$, *are such that:*

$$a^X(a-1) - a^{X'}(a-1) + k \quad \geq \quad 0 \tag{4.4}$$

then $\mathrm{supp}(X') = \emptyset$. *Furthermore, if* $\mathrm{supp}(X) \neq \emptyset$ *then $k > 0$.*

PROOF :
By contradiction, assume that $\mathrm{supp}(X') \neq \emptyset$ and let $x = max\{x' \mid x' \in \mathrm{supp}(X')\}$. Thus we have $x + 1 > 0$ and $(-a^{X'}(a-1), a^{x+1}) < 0$. Hence, in view of Eq.(4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (a^X(a-1) - a^{X'}(a-1) + k, a^{x+1}) \\ &= (a^X(a-1) - a^{X'}(a-1), a^{x+1}) \\ &< (a^X(a-1), a^{x+1}) \\ &\leq (a^X a, a^{x+1}) \end{aligned}$$

which yields $x \in \mathrm{supp}(X) \cap \mathrm{supp}(X')$, a contradiction. Thus $\mathrm{supp}(X') = \emptyset$. If $\mathrm{supp}(X) \neq \emptyset$, let $x = min\{x' \mid x' \in \mathrm{supp}(X)\}$. Since $(a^X(a-1), x) < 0$, we have $k > 0$. ■

Let $H \in \mathbb{N}\langle 1 \rangle$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$. We set $[H]_t = \{h \in H \mid h = t \pmod{n}\}$. Notice that $[H]_t$ could be a multiset: any element $h \in H$ such that $h = t \pmod{n}$ is in $[H]_t$ with the same multiplicity as in H.

Lemma 4.6 *Let (I, J) be a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $L, L' \in \mathbb{N}\langle 1 \rangle$, with* $\mathrm{supp}(L) \cap \mathrm{supp}(L') = \emptyset$, *are such that:*

$$a^L(a-1)a^J a^I - a^{L'}(a-1)a^J a^I + k a^J a^I \quad \geq \quad 0 \tag{4.5}$$

then $\mathrm{supp}(L') = \emptyset$. *Furthermore, if* $\mathrm{supp}(L) \neq \emptyset$ *then $k > 0$.*

PROOF :
By Eq.(4.5), since (I, J) is a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq a^L(a-1)a^Ja^I - a^{L'}(a-1)a^Ja^I + ka^Ja^I \\ &= a^L(a^n-1) - a^{L'}(a^n-1) + k\frac{a^n-1}{a-1} \end{aligned}$$

which yields:

$$\forall t \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}, \qquad a^{[L]_t}(a^n-1) - a^{[L']_t}(a^n-1) + ka^t \geq 0 \tag{4.6}$$

By erasing a^t and by changing a^n with x in each term of this inequality, we get an inequality as in Eq.(4.4). Precisely, for all $t \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, let $X_t, X'_t \in \mathbb{N}\langle 1\rangle$ be defined as follows:

$$a^{X_t} = \sum_{x\in\mathbb{N}}(a^{[L]_t}, a^{t+xn})a^x, \quad a^{X'_t} = \sum_{x'\in\mathbb{N}}(a^{[L']_t}, a^{t+x'n})a^{x'}$$

As a direct consequence we have

$$\mathrm{supp}(X_t) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{supp}([L]_t) \neq \emptyset, \quad \mathrm{supp}(X'_t) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{supp}([L']_t) \neq \emptyset$$

Furthermore, for any $x \in \mathbb{N}$, we also have

$$(a^{[L]_t}(a^n-1) - a^{[L']_t}(a^n-1) + ka^t, a^{xn+t}) = (a^{X_t}(a-1) - a^{X'_t}(a-1) + k, a^x)$$

Since for any $y, t \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $(a^{[L]_t}(a^n-1) - a^{[L']_t}(a^n-1) + ka^t, a^y) \neq 0$ if and only if $y = xn+t$, the above relation shows that

$$a^{[L]_t}(a^n-1) - a^{[L']_t}(a^n-1) + ka^t \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow a^{X_t}(a-1) - a^{X'_t}(a-1) + k \geq 0$$

Thus, in view of Eq.(4.6) we have $a^{X_t}(a-1) - a^{X'_t}(a-1) + k \geq 0$ and so, in view of Lemma 4.5, $\mathrm{supp}(X'_t) = \emptyset$, for all t. The latter relation yields $\mathrm{supp}([L']_t) = \emptyset$ for all t, i.e., $\mathrm{supp}(L') = \emptyset$. Finally, if $\mathrm{supp}(L) \neq \emptyset$ then there is t such that $\mathrm{supp}([L]_t) \neq \emptyset$. Consequently, $\mathrm{supp}(X_t) \neq \emptyset$ and $k > 0$, once again by Lemma 4.5. ■

Lemma 4.7 *Let (I, J) be a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $L, L' \in \mathbb{N}\langle 1\rangle$, with* $\mathrm{supp}(L) \cap \mathrm{supp}(L') = \emptyset$, *are such that:*

$$a^L(a-1)a^J - a^{L'}(a-1)a^J + ka^J \quad \geq \quad 0 \tag{4.7}$$

then $\mathrm{supp}(L') = \emptyset$. *Furthermore, if* $\mathrm{supp}(L) \neq \emptyset$ *then* $k > 0$.

PROOF :
By Eq.(4.7) and since $I \geq 0$, we have:

$$a^L(a-1)a^Ja^I - a^{L'}(a-1)a^Ja^I + ka^Ja^I \geq 0$$

Thus the conclusion follows by Lemma 4.6. ■

We have proved the following result.

Theorem 4.4 *Let (I, J) be a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Let $P_1 \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A\rangle$, let M_j, $j \in J$, be finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ such that:*

$$a^I b a^J + P_1(a-1)a^J + \sum_{j\in J} a^I(a-1)a^{M_j} b a^j \quad \geq \quad 0$$

Then we have $P_1 \in \mathbb{N}\langle A\rangle$.

Proof :
By Lemma 4.3 we have $P_1 = \sum_{i\in I'} a^i b(a^{L_i} - a^{L'_i})$, where I' is a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ and $L_i, L'_i \in \mathbb{N}\langle 1\rangle$ are such that $\mathrm{supp}(L_i) \cap \mathrm{supp}(L'_i) = \emptyset$, for each $i \in I'$. Then, for each $i \in I'$ there exists $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^{L_i}(a-1)a^J - a^{L'_i}(a-1)a^J + k_i a^J \geq 0$ (Lemma 4.4). Thus, $\mathrm{supp}(L'_i) = \emptyset$ for each $i \in I'$ (Lemma 4.7) and $P_1 \in \mathbb{N}\langle A\rangle$. ■

5 Positively factorizing codes

As observed in [2], the aim of the theory of codes is to give a structural description of codes in a way that allows their construction. This has not yet been accomplished, except for some special families of codes. In particular, a still open problem is the construction of positively factorizing codes. Known results about this question will be recalled in Section 5.2 whereas an outline of new results will be given in Section 5.3. In both cases there are relations with factorizations of cyclic groups, whose definition is recalled in Section 5.1.

5.1 Factorizations of cyclic groups

A pair (T, R) of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ is a factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ if for each i in $\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ there exists a unique pair $(t, r) \in T \times R$ such that $i = t + r \pmod{n}$. Relations between the structure of positively factorizing codes and a class of factorizations of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ have been pointed out. These special factorizations have been defined in [17] and will be called here *Hajós factorizations.* We need their characterization given in [10]: (T, R) is a Hajós factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ if and only if $a^T = a^I + a^I(a-1)a^M \geq 0$, $a^R = a^J + a^J(a-1)a^L \geq 0$, with (I, J) being a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ and $L, M \subset \mathbb{N}$. As stated in Proposition 5.1, subsets L, M satisfy another equation too. We also need the following known result (Lemma 3.2 (ii), (iii),(iv) in [9]).

Lemma 5.1 *Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(i) If $H \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $(a^n-1)/(a-1)(k + a^H(a-1)) \geq 0$ then $(a^n-1)/(a-1)(1 + a^H(a-1))$ has coefficients $0, 1$.

(ii) If $a^H \in \mathbb{N}\langle a\rangle$ and $(a^n-1)/(a-1)(1 + a^H(a-1)) \geq 0$ then a^H has coefficients $0, 1$.

Proposition 5.1 *Let (I, J) be a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, let $L, M \subset \mathbb{N}$ be such that*

$$a^R = a^L(a-1)a^J + a^J \geq 0, \quad a^T = a^M(a-1)a^I + a^I \geq 0.$$

Then $a^L(a-1)a^M + a^L + a^M$ is a polynomial with coefficients $0, 1$.

PROOF :
Let $H, H' \in \mathbb{N}\langle 1 \rangle$, with $\mathrm{supp}(H) \cap \mathrm{supp}(H') = \emptyset$ and assume $a^L(a-1)a^M + a^L + a^M = a^H - a^{H'}$. An easy computation shows that

$$a^R a^T = a^H(a-1)a^J a^I - a^{H'}(a-1)a^J a^I + a^J a^I \geq 0$$

Thus $H' = \emptyset$, by Lemma 4.6.

Then $(a^n - 1)/(a-1)(1 + a^H(a-1)) \geq 0$ and $a^H = a^L(a-1)a^M + a^L + a^M$ has coefficients $0, 1$ by Lemma 5.1 (ii). ■

We will see in Section 5.2 that Hajós factorizations (T, R) related to 3-codes satisfy an additional hypothesis: for the corresponding pair (M, L) we have $a^M(a-1)a^L + a^L \geq 0$ or $a^M(a-1)a^L + a^M \geq 0$. In this case we say that (T, R) is a *strong Hajós factorization*.

In [20], the author gave a construction of an infinite family of Hajós factorizations (T, R) of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ which are not strong, i.e., which are such that, for the corresponding pair (M, L), we have

$$a^M(a-1)a^L + a^L < 0, \quad a^M(a-1)a^L + a^M < 0$$

The non strong Hajós factorization $(T, R) = (\{0, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20\}, \{0, 3, 6, 21\})$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$ is an element of this family and the corresponding pair (M, L) is $(\{2, 3\}, \{1, 9, 11, 13\})$.

5.2 Known results

So far, positive factorizations (P, S) for finite maximal codes C have been constructed for m-codes with $m \leq 3$ or when P or S is in $\mathbb{N}\langle a \rangle$.

In the latter case these pairs (P, S) have been characterized in [8]. Assume $P \in \mathbb{N}\langle a \rangle$. We have $P = P_0 + P_1 + \ldots + P_k$, with $P_0 = a^I$, $P_i = \sum_{w \in P_{i-1}} wba^{L_w}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $S = a^J$, (I, J) being a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, and $a^{R_w} = a^{L_w}(a-1)a^J + a^J \geq 0$. Therefore (I, R_w) is a strong Hajós factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ since $a^{L_w}(a-1) + a^{L_w} \geq 0$. Of course if $S \in \mathbb{N}\langle a \rangle$ then $(S^\sim, P^\sim)$ is as above. Furthermore, notice that if (P, S) is a factorization for an m-code, $m \leq 2$, then (P, S) or $(S^\sim, P^\sim)$ is also as above (with $k = 0$ for 1-codes and $k = 1$ for 2-codes). Analogously, we have some positive factorizations for a 3-code by taking $k = 3$. The other factorizations for a 3-code have been characterized in [9] and this description is recalled below.

Proposition 5.2 *Let (U, V) be a positive factorization for a 3-code C, with $U, V \subset a^* \cup a^*ba^*$. Then for $(P, S) = (U, V)$ or $(P, S) = (V^\sim, U^\sim)$, we have*

$$P = a^I + \sum_{i \in I'} a^i ba^{L_i}, \quad S = a^J + \sum_{j \in J} a^{M_j} ba^j$$

where (I, J) is a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, L_i, J', M_j are finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, for any i, j and moreover

$$\forall j \in J \qquad a^{T_j} = a^{M_j}(a-1)a^I + a^I \geq 0, \quad I' \subset \cup_{j \in J} T_j, \tag{5.1}$$

$$\forall i \in I' \qquad a^{R_i} = a^{L_i}(a-1)a^J + a^J \geq a^{L_i}(a-1)a^J + a^{J_i} \geq 0, \tag{5.2}$$

where $J_i = \{j \in J \mid i \in T_j\}$,

$$\forall j \in J, i \in I' \setminus I \qquad a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_i} \geq 0. \tag{5.3}$$

Remark 5.1 It is worth noting that in the original formulation of Proposition 5.2 given in [9], subsets L_i, M_j are required to be such that

$$\forall j \in J, i \in I' \cap I \quad a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_i} + a^{M_j} \geq 0.$$

In view of Eqs.(5.1), (5.2), Proposition 5.1 applies to L_i, M_j and shows that the above polynomial has coefficients $0, 1$. Therefore we may omit this condition.

Remark 5.2 The structure of 3-codes is related to Hajós factorizations. Moreover, some of them have to be necessarily strong, namely (R_i, T_j), for any $i \in I' \setminus I$, $j \in J$. We conjecture that more general relations exist between Hajós factorizations, strong Hajós factorizations, and the structure of positively factorizing codes.

Finally, let $\Omega(n)$ be the number of factors in the prime factorization of $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We recall that the structure of the words in $C_1 = C \cap a^*ba^*$ has been investigated in [12] and characterized in [15] when $a^n \in C$, with $\Omega(n) \leq 2$.

5.3 Outline of the results on positively factorizing codes

In the following sections we give partial results on the structure of positively factorizing codes. We begin with a characterization of the words C_1 with one occurrence of b's in a factorizing code $C = P(A-1)S + 1$ such that $P_1 \subset P_0 ba^*$ or $S_1 \subset a^*bS_0$ (Section 6). Loosely speaking, we state that this set C_1 is the same as in a 3-code.

Then we analyse the structure of positively factorizing codes C in two cases: when C has a (positive) factorization (P, S) with $S = a^J + \sum_{j \in J} a^{M_j} ba^j$ and when C is a $(p, 4)$-code. The former family of codes will be discussed in Section 7. We give a characterization of these codes. We prove that each m-code C having a factorization (P, S), with $S = a^J + \sum_{j \in J} a^{M_j} ba^j$, may be obtained by an $m-1$-code C' having a factorization (P', S). Loosely speaking, we show that we can find words $zba^j \in C'$ such that $C' + zba^{L_z}(A-1)S \geq 0$. Again, the starting point of the recursive construction above is the case of the 3-codes.

Section 8 deals with positive factorizations for $(p, 4)$-codes. In some of the results it is unnecessary to assume that $a^p \in C$, with p being a prime number. As a consequence, we also describe the structure of some positive factorizations for 4-codes. Lemma 5.2 is the counterpart of Lemma 4.2 for positive factorizations.

Lemma 5.2 *Let C be a 4-code, let (U, V) be a positive factorization for C. Then for $(P, S) = (U, V)$ or $(P, S) = (V^{\sim}, U^{\sim})$, one of the following three conditions is satisfied.*

(1) $P = P_0 + P_1 + P_2 + P_3 \in \mathbb{N}\langle A \rangle$, $S \in \mathbb{N}\langle a \rangle$.

(2) $P = a^I + \sum_{i \in I'} a^i ba^{L_i} + \sum_{w \in P'_1} wba^{L_w}$, $S = a^J + \sum_{j \in J} a^{M_j} ba^j$, *where (I, J) is a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, I', L_i, M_j, L_w are finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, for any i, j, w, and P'_1 is a finite subset of a^*ba^*.*

(3) $P = a^I + \sum_{i \in I'} a^i ba^{L_i} + \sum_{i \in I', \ell \in L_i} a^i ba^\ell ba^{L_{i,\ell}}$, $S = a^J + \sum_{j \in J'} a^{M_j} ba^j$, *where (I, J) is a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, $I', J', L_i, M_j, L_{i,\ell}$ are finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, for any i, j, ℓ.*

Proof :
The statement is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 3.3. ■

In Section 5.2 we described the structure of the positive factorizations for 4-codes satisfying item (1) in Lemma 5.2. Furthermore, 4-codes having positive factorizations that satisfy item

(2) in Lemma 5.2 belong to the class considered in Section 7. Finally, positive factorizations satisfying item (3) in Lemma 5.2 will be handled in Section 8 when $n = p$, i.e., for $(p, 4)$-codes, and when $I' = I$, i.e., with $P_1 \subset P_0ba^*$.

6 Words in C with one b: a special case

Let (P, S) be a positive factorization for a finite maximal code C. Set $P_0 = a^I$, $S_0 = a^J$, where (I, J) is a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Lemma 6.1 characterizes polynomials P_1, S_1 under the hypothesis $S_1 = \sum_{j\in J} a^{M_j}ba^j$ or $P_1 = \sum_{i\in I} a^iba^{L_i}$. Loosely speaking this result states that the set $C_1 = C \cap a^*ba^*$ of the words with one occurrence of b is the same as in a 3-code. The proof of Lemma 6.1 is the same as in [9] and it is reported here for the sake of completeness. This result will also be used in Sections 7 and 8.

Proposition 6.1 *Let (I, J) be a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, let $L \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > 0$, be such that $a^L(a - 1)a^J + ka^J \geq 0$. Then, for any $j \in J$, we have $(a^L(a - 1)a^J + a^J, a^j) \leq 1$.*

PROOF :
Assume there exists $k > 0$ such that $a^L(a - 1)a^J + ka^J \geq 0$. Thus,

$$a^I(a^L(a - 1)a^J + ka^J) = (a^n - 1)/(a - 1)(k + a^L(a - 1)) \geq 0$$

Therefore, by Lemma 5.1 (i), $(a^n - 1)/(a - 1)(1 + a^L(a - 1))$ is a polynomial with coefficients $0, 1$. Assume that $(a^L(a - 1)a^J + a^J, a^j) \geq 2$ with $j \in J$. Notice that

$$(a^n-1)/(a-1)(1+a^L(a-1)) = a^L(a-1)a^Ja^I + a^Ja^I = a^L(a-1)a^J + a^J + (a^L(a-1)+1)a^Ja^{I\setminus 0}$$

Thus we get $((a^L(a - 1) + 1)a^Ja^{I\setminus 0}, a^j) < 0$, i.e.,

$$\exists i \in I \setminus 0,\ q \in \mathbb{N}\ :\ i + q = j,\ (a^L(a - 1)a^J + a^J, a^q) < 0$$

On the other hand, since $a^L(a - 1)a^J + ka^J \geq 0$, we have $k > 1$ and $q \in J$. This is impossible since (I, J) is a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ and $i + q = 0 + j$ with $i \in I \setminus 0$, $0 \in I$, $q, j \in J$. ■

Lemma 6.1 *Let (I, J) be a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, let I', L_i, M_j be finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. We have*

$$C_1 = a^Iba^J + \sum_{i\in I'} a^iba^{L_i}(a - 1)a^J + \sum_{j\in J} a^I(a - 1)a^{M_j}ba^j \geq 0$$

if and only if I', L_i, M_j satisfy Eqs.(5.1) and (5.2), i.e.,

$$\forall j \in J \qquad a^{T_j} = a^{M_j}(a - 1)a^I + a^I \geq 0, \quad I' \subset \cup_{j\in J}T_j \tag{6.1}$$

$$\forall i \in I' \qquad a^{R_i} = a^{L_i}(a - 1)a^J + a^J \geq a^{L_i}(a - 1)a^J + a^{J_i} \geq 0 \tag{6.2}$$

where $J_i = \{j \in J \mid i \in T_j\}$, for any $i \in I'$.

Proof :
Suppose that

$$C_1 = a^I ba^J + \sum_{i \in I'} a^i ba^{L_i}(a-1)a^J + \sum_{j \in J} a^I (a-1)a^{M_j} ba^j \geq 0$$

In view of Lemma 4.4 (applied with $L'_i = \emptyset$), for any $i \in I'$ there exists $k_i > 0$ such that $a^{L_i}(a-1)a^J + k_i a^J \geq 0$.
Assume that there exists $j \in J$ and $h \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(a^{M_j}(a-1)a^I + a^I, a^h) < 0$. Thus, we have $(a^I ba^J + \sum_{j\in J} a^I(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^j, a^h ba^j) < 0$ and so $(\sum_{i \in I'} a^i ba^{L_i}(a-1)a^J, a^h ba^j) > 0$, since $C_1 \geq 0$. Hence, $h \in I'$ and $(a^{L_h}(a-1)a^J, a^j) > 0$. Consequently $(a^{L_h}(a-1)a^J + a^J, a^j) \geq 2$, in contradiction with Proposition 6.1. This proves Eq.(6.1).
Set, as in Eq.(6.1), $a^{T_j} = a^{M_j}(a-1)a^I + a^I$. Thus T_j is a subset of $\mathbb{N}$ and we have

$$C_1 = \sum_{j \in J} a^{T_j} ba^j + \sum_{i \in I'} a^i ba^{L_i}(a-1)a^J \geq 0$$

For any $i \in I'$, let $\ell_i = min\ L_i$. Thus $(\sum_{i \in I'} a^i ba^{L_i}(a-1)a^J, a^i ba^{\ell_i}) < 0$ and

$$0 \leq (C_1, a^i ba^{\ell_i}) = (\sum_{j \in J} a^{T_j} ba^j + a^i ba^{L_i}(a-1)a^J, a^i ba^{\ell_i}) < (\sum_{j \in J} a^{T_j} ba^j, a^i ba^{\ell_i})$$

Hence $I' \subset \cup_{j \in J} T_j$. Finally, for $i \in I'$, and $J_i = \{j \in J \mid i \in T_j\}$, we have

$$C_1 = \sum_{i \in I'} a^i ba^{L_i}(a-1)a^J + \sum_{j \in J} a^{T_j} ba^j = \sum_{i \in T_j, j \in J} a^i b(a^{L_i}(a-1)a^J + a^{J_i}) \geq 0$$

The above relation proves Eq.(6.2).

Conversely, let (I, J) be a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ and assume that I', L_i, M_j satisfy Eqs.(6.1) and (6.2). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &= a^I ba^J + \sum_{i \in I'} a^i ba^{L_i}(a-1)a^J + \sum_{j \in J} a^I(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^j \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} a^{T_j} ba^j + \sum_{i \in I'} a^i ba^{L_i}(a-1)a^J \\
&= \sum_{i \in T_j, j \in J} a^i b(a^{L_i}(a-1)a^J + a^{J_i}) \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. ∎

7 Construction of factorizing codes with $S = a^J + \sum_{j \in J} a^{M_j} ba^j$

In this section we give a recursive characterization of (positive) factorizations (P, S) such that $S = a^J + \sum_{j \in J} a^{M_j} ba^j$. Consider again the finite maximal code C defined in Example 3.1 by the relation $C = P(A-1)S + 1$, with

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= 1 + a^2 ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\}} + a^2 ba^3 ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\}} \\
S &= a^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}} + a^{\{0,1\}} ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}}
\end{aligned}$$

Set $P' = 1 + a^2ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\}}$. Equalities in Example 3.1 show that $C' = P'(A-1)S+1$ is a 3-code and $C = C' + zba^{L_z}(A-1)S$, with $zba^{L_z} = a^2ba^3ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\}}$ and $a^2ba^3ba^{\{0,1,2,3,4\}} \in C'$. This construction can be easily generalized (Proposition 7.1). Furthermore we can construct all factorizing codes with $S = a^J + \sum_{j\in J} a^{M_j}ba^j$ in this way (Theorem 7.1).

Lemma 7.1 *Let (P, S) be a positive factorization for a finite maximal code C, with $S = a^J + \sum_{j\in J} a^{M_j}ba^j$, $P = P_0 + \ldots + P_k$ with $k \geq 1$. Then $C_{k+1} \subset A^*ba^J$.*

PROOF :
The conclusion is a direct consequence of the following equation

$$C_{k+1} = P_kba^J + \sum_{j\in J} P_{k-1}ba^{M_j}ba^j + \sum_{j\in J} P_k(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^j$$

■

Proposition 7.1 *Let (P, S) be a positive factorization for a $(k+2)$-code C, with $S = a^J + \sum_{j\in J} a^{M_j}ba^j$, $P = P_0 + \ldots + P_k$ with $k \geq 1$. Set $P'_k = \{z \mid zba^j \in C_{k+1}\}$ and, for $z \in P'_k$, $J_z = \{j \in J \mid zba^j \in C_{k+1}\}$. Let $P_{k+1} = \sum_{z\in P'_k} zba^{L_{z,k+1}}$ be such that*

$$\forall z \in P'_k \qquad a^{L_{z,k+1}}(a-1)a^J + a^J \geq a^{L_{z,k+1}}(a-1)a^J + a^{J_z} \geq 0, \tag{7.1}$$

$$\forall z \in P'_k \setminus P_k \qquad a^{L_{z,k+1}}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_{z,k+1}} \geq 0 \tag{7.2}$$

Then $(P + P_{k+1}, S)$ is a positive factorization for a $(k+3)$-code.

PROOF :
Let P, S, P_{k+1} be as in the statement and let $P_{k+1} = \sum_{z\in P'_k} zba^{L_{z,k+1}}$. Let us prove that $(P + P_{k+1})(A-1)S + 1 \geq 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(P + P_{k+1})(A-1)S + 1 = P(A-1)S + 1 + P_{k+1}(A-1)S = \\
&C + P_{k+1}(a-1)a^J + \sum_{j\in J} P_{k+1}(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^j + P_{k+1}ba^J + \sum_{j\in J} P_{k+1}ba^{M_j}ba^j \geq \\
&C + P_{k+1}(a-1)a^J + \sum_{j\in J} P_{k+1}(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^j + P_{k+1}ba^J
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
&C + P_{k+1}(a-1)a^J + \sum_{j\in J} P_{k+1}(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^j + P_{k+1}ba^J \geq 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \\
&C_{k+1} + P_{k+1}(a-1)a^J \geq 0, \quad C_{k+2} + \sum_{j\in J} P_{k+1}(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^j + P_{k+1}ba^J \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

In turn, by Lemma 7.1, $C_{k+1} \subset A^*ba^J$. Thus let $C_{k+1} = \sum_{\{z \mid zba^j \in C_{k+1}\}} zba^{J_z}$. Therefore, by Eq. (7.1) we have

$$C_{k+1} + P_{k+1}(a-1)a^J = C_{k+1} + \sum_{z\in P'_k} zba^{L_{z,k+1}}(a-1)a^J = \sum_{\{z \mid zba^j \in C_{k+1}\}} zb(a^{J_z} + a^{L_{z,k+1}}(a-1)a^J) \geq 0$$

Next, by Lemma 6.1, $a^{M_j}(a-1)a^I + a^I \geq 0$ for any $j \in J$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& C_{k+2} + \sum_{j \in J} P_{k+1}(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^j + P_{k+1}ba^J \\
= & \sum_{j \in J} P_k ba^{M_j} ba^j + \sum_{j \in J,\, z \in P'_k} zb(a^{L_{z,k+1}}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_{z,k+1}})ba^j \geq \\
& \sum_{j \in J,\, z \in P'_k \cap P_k} zb(a^{M_j} + a^{L_{z,k+1}}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_{z,k+1}})ba^j \\
+ & \sum_{j \in J,\, z \in P'_k \setminus P_k} zb(a^{L_{z,k+1}}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_{z,k+1}})ba^j
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is now completed since

$$\sum_{j \in J,\, z \in P'_k \cap P_k} zb(a^{M_j} + a^{L_{z,k+1}}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_{z,k+1}})ba^j \geq 0 \quad \text{(Proposition 5.1)},$$

$$\sum_{j \in J,\, z \in P'_k \setminus P_k} zb(a^{L_{z,k+1}}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_{z,k+1}})ba^j \geq 0 \quad \text{(Eq.(7.2))}$$

■

Theorem 7.1 is a converse of Proposition 7.1 and states that the described procedure constructs all factorizing codes with $S = a^J + \sum_{j \in J} a^{M_j}ba^j$.

Theorem 7.1 *Let (P, S) be a positive factorization for a $(k+3)$-code C, with $S = a^J + \sum_{j \in J} a^{M_j}ba^j$, $P = P_0 + \ldots + P_k + P_{k+1}$ with $k \geq 1$. Then for any $r \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $(P_0 + \ldots + P_r, S)$ is a positive factorization for a $(r+2)$-code $C^{(r)} = (P_0 + \ldots + P_r)(A-1)S + 1$. Moreover, set $P_{r+1} = \sum_{z \in P'_r} zba^{L_{z,r+1}}$. Thus, $P'_r = \{z \mid zba^j \in C^{(r)}_{r+1}\}$ and P_{r+1} satisfies Eqs.(7.1),(7.2) with $J_z = \{j \in J \mid zba^j \in C^{(r)}_{r+1}\}$, i.e.,*

$$\forall z \in P'_r \qquad a^{L_{z,r+1}}(a-1)a^J + a^J \geq a^{L_{z,r+1}}(a-1)a^J + a^{J_z} \geq 0, \tag{7.3}$$

$$\forall z \in P'_r \setminus P_r \qquad a^{L_{z,r+1}}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_{z,r+1}} \geq 0 \tag{7.4}$$

Proof :

By hypothesis, $(P_0 + \ldots + P_{k+1}, S)$ is a positive factorization. Let us prove by induction over r that if $(P_0 + \ldots + P_{r+1}, S)$ is a positive factorization for a code $C^{(r+1)}$ then $(P_0 + \ldots + P_r, S)$ is also a positive factorization for a code $C^{(r)}$. Notice that $C^{(r)}_t = C^{(r+1)}_t \geq 0$ for $t \in \{0, \ldots, r\}$ and $C^{(r)}_{r+2} = P_r bS_1 \geq 0$. Thus, set $P_r = \sum_{w \in P'_{r-1}} wba^{L_{w,r}}$, it remains to prove the following claim:

$$\begin{aligned}
C^{(r+1)}_{r+1} = & \sum_{j \in J, w \in P'_{r-1}} wba^{L_{w,r}}(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^j + \sum_{j \in J, y \in P_{r-1}} yba^{M_j}ba^j \\
& + \sum_{w \in P'_{r-1}} wba^{L_{w,r}}ba^J + P_{r+1}(a-1)a^J \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \\
C^{(r)}_{r+1} = & \sum_{j \in J, w \in P'_{r-1}} wba^{L_{w,r}}(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^j + \sum_{j \in J, y \in P_{r-1}} yba^{M_j}ba^j \\
+ & \sum_{w \in P'_{r-1}} wba^{L_{w,r}}ba^J \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

We preliminarily prove Eqs.(7.5), (7.6) below

$$\forall w \in P'_{r-1} \setminus P_{r-1},\ \forall j \in J \qquad a^{L_{w,r}}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_{w,r}} \geq 0, \tag{7.5}$$

$$\forall w \in P'_{r-1} \cap P_{r-1},\ \forall j \in J \qquad a^{L_{w,r}}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_{w,r}} + a^{M_j} \geq 0 \tag{7.6}$$

Set $P_{r+1} = \sum_{z \in P'_r} zba^{L_{z,r+1}}$. For any $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(a^{L_{z,r+1}}(a-1)a^J, a^q) < 0$ we get $(P_{r+1}(a-1)a^J, zba^q) < 0$. Thus, since $C_{r+1}^{(r+1)} \geq 0$, we have

$$\Big(\sum_{j \in J, w \in P'_{r-1}} wba^{L_{w,r}}(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^j + \sum_{j \in J, y \in P_{r-1}} yba^{M_j}ba^j + \sum_{w \in P'_{r-1}} wba^{L_{w,r}}ba^J, zba^q\Big) > 0$$

that is $q \in J$. As a consequence, $a^{L_{z,r+1}}(a-1)a^J + ka^J \geq 0$, where

$$k = max\{h \mid (a^{L_{z,r+1}}(a-1)a^J, a^q) = -h,\ q \in J\} > 0$$

Assume that for $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in J$ we have $(a^{L_{w,r}}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_{w,r}}, a^t) < 0$, with $w \in P'_{r-1} \setminus P_{r-1}$, or $(a^{L_{w,r}}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_{w,r}} + a^{M_j}, a^t) < 0$, with $w \in P'_{r-1} \cap P_{r-1}$. Hence we also have

$$\Big(\sum_{j \in J, w \in P'_{r-1}} wba^{L_{w,r}}(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^j + \sum_{j \in J, y \in P_{r-1}} yba^{M_j}ba^j + \sum_{w \in P'_{r-1}} wba^{L_{w,r}}ba^J, wba^tba^j\Big) < 0$$

Since $C_{r+1}^{(r+1)} \geq 0$, the above relation implies $(P_{r+1}(a-1)a^J, wba^tba^j) > 0$. In turn, this implies $wba^t = z \in P'_r$ and $(a^{L_{z,r+1}}(a-1)a^J, a^j) > 0$, i.e., $(a^{L_{z,r+1}}(a-1)a^J + a^J, a^j) \geq 2$, in contradiction with Proposition 6.1. This proves Eqs.(7.5), (7.6). Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{r+1}^{(r)} = \sum_{j \in J, w \in P'_{r-1}} wba^{L_{w,r}}(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^j + \sum_{j \in J, y \in P_{r-1}} yba^{M_j}ba^j + \sum_{w \in P'_{r-1}} wba^{L_{w,r}}ba^J \geq \\ \sum_{j \in J,\ w \in P'_{r-1} \cap P_{r-1}} wb(a^{M_j} + a^{L_{w,r}}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_{w,r}})ba^j + \\ \sum_{j \in J,\ w \in P'_{r-1} \setminus P_{r-1}} wb(a^{L_{w,r}}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_{w,r}})ba^j \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

As a result, $(P_0 + \ldots + P_r, S)$ is a positive factorization for a $(r+2)$-code $C^{(r)}$. Next, set $C_{r+1}^{(r)} = \sum_{\{z \mid zba^j \in C_{r+1}^{(r)}\}} zba^{J_z}$. We have $J_z \subset J$ by Lemma 7.1. Furthermore

$$0 \leq C_{r+1}^{(r+1)} = C_{r+1}^{(r)} + P_{r+1}(a-1)a^J = \sum_{\{z \mid zba^j \in C_{r+1}^{(r)}\}} zba^{J_z} + \sum_{z \in P'_r} zba^{L_{z,r+1}}(a-1)a^J$$

Thus $P'_r = \{z \mid zba^j \in C_{r+1}^{(r)}\}$ and Eq.(7.3) follows easily. Finally, by

$$C_{r+2}^{(r+1)} = \sum_{j \in J, z \in P'_r} zba^{L_{z,r+1}}(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^j + \sum_{j \in J, y \in P_r} yba^{M_j}ba^j + \sum_{z \in P'_r} zba^{L_{z,r+1}}ba^J \geq 0$$

it is clear that Eq.(7.4) holds. ■

8 Construction of 4-codes

In this section we focus on positive factorizations for 4-codes C satisfying item (3) in Lemma 5.2, i.e., such that

$$P = a^I + \sum_{i\in I'} a^iba^{L_i} + \sum_{i\in I',\ell\in L_i} a^iba^\ell ba^{L_{i,\ell}}, \quad S = a^J + \sum_{j\in J'} a^{M_j}ba^j \tag{8.1}$$

where (I,J) is a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ and $I', J', L_i, M_j, L_{i,\ell}$ are finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, for any i,j,ℓ. The following lemma states a first property of these subsets $L_i, M_j, L_{i,\ell}$.

Lemma 8.1 *Let $I', J', J, L_i, M_j, L_{i,\ell}$ be finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, for any i,j,ℓ. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} C_3 &= \sum_{i\in I',\ell\in L_i} a^iba^\ell ba^{L_{i,\ell}}ba^J + \sum_{i\in I',j\in J'} a^iba^{L_i}ba^{M_j}ba^j \\ &+ \sum_{i\in I',\ell\in L_i,j\in J'} a^iba^\ell ba^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^j \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

if and only if we have

$$\forall i\in I', j\in J'\cap J \qquad a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_{i,\ell}} + a^{M_j} \geq 0 \tag{8.2}$$

$$\forall i\in I', j\in J'\setminus J \qquad a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{M_j} \geq 0 \tag{8.3}$$

Proof :
Let us write the polynomial C_3 in a different way

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i\in I',\ell\in L_i} a^iba^\ell ba^{L_{i,\ell}}ba^J + \sum_{i\in I',j\in J'} a^iba^{L_i}ba^{M_j}ba^j + \sum_{i\in I',\ell\in L_i,j\in J'} a^iba^\ell ba^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^j = \\ &\sum_{i\in I',\ell\in L_i,j\in J\setminus J'} a^iba^\ell ba^{L_{i,\ell}}ba^j + \sum_{i\in I',\ell\in L_i,j\in J'\cap J} a^iba^\ell b(a^{L_{i,\ell}} + a^{M_j} + a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^{M_j})ba^j \\ &+ \sum_{i\in I',\ell\in L_i,j\in J'\setminus J} a^iba^\ell b(a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{M_j})ba^j \end{aligned}$$

Then the following relation makes the conclusion obvious.

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i\in I',\ell\in L_i,j\in J\setminus J'} a^iba^\ell ba^{L_{i,\ell}}ba^j + \sum_{i\in I',\ell\in L_i,j\in J'\cap J} a^iba^\ell b(a^{L_{i,\ell}} + a^{M_j} + a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^{M_j})ba^j \\ &+ \sum_{i\in I',\ell\in L_i,j\in J'\setminus J} a^iba^\ell b(a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{M_j})ba^j \geq 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \\ &\sum_{i\in I',\ell\in L_i,j\in J'\cap J} a^iba^\ell b(a^{L_{i,\ell}} + a^{M_j} + a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^{M_j})ba^j \\ &+ \sum_{i\in I',\ell\in L_i,j\in J'\setminus J} a^iba^\ell b(a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{M_j})ba^j \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

■

We now discuss positive factorizations (P,S) satisfying Eq. (8.1) in two cases:

1. when $I' = I$, i.e., with $P_2 \subset P_1 ba^*$ and $P_1 \subset P_0 ba^*$ (Section 8.1),
2. when $a^p \in C$, with p prime number, i.e., when C is a $(p, 4)$-code (Section 8.2).

We give a characterization of these pairs (P, S) in the first case. In both cases the relation with positive factorization for 3-codes is not so easily describable as for pairs in Section 7.

8.1 The case $I' = I$

In this section we assume that $P = a^I + \sum_{i \in I} a^i ba^{L_i} + \sum_{i \in I, \ell \in L_i} a^i ba^\ell ba^{L_{i,\ell}}$, $S = a^J + \sum_{j \in J'} a^{M_j} ba^j$, where (I, J) is a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, $J', L_i, M_j, L_{i,\ell}$ are finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, for any i, j, ℓ. As in Section 7, we first give conditions under which (P, S) is a positive factorization for a finite maximal code C (Proposition 8.1). We then prove that if (P, S) is a positive factorization for C then (P, S) necessarily meets these conditions (Proposition 8.2). Some necessary conditions are provided by Lemmas 6.1 and 8.1. In particular, by the former lemma we have

$$\forall i \in I \qquad a^{R_i} = a^{L_i}(a-1)a^J + a^J \geq 0, \quad J' \subset \cup_{i \in I} R_i \tag{8.4}$$

Furthermore, for any $j \in J'$, let $I_j = \{i \in I \mid j \in R_i\}$. Then we have

$$\forall j \in J' \qquad a^{T_j} = a^{M_j}(a-1)a^I + a^I \geq a^{M_j}(a-1)a^{I_j} + a^{I_j} \geq 0 \tag{8.5}$$

We illustrate the remaining conditions through an example. Let us consider the polynomials:

$$\begin{aligned} P &= a^{\{0,2,4,12,14,16\}} + a^{\{0,2,4,12,14,16\}} ba^{\{1,9,11,13\}} + \\ &\quad a^{\{0,2,4,12,14,16\}} ba^{\{1,9,11,13\}} ba^{\{1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17,19\}}, \\ S &= a^{\{0,1,6,7\}} + a^{\{2,3\}} ba^{21}. \end{aligned}$$

An easy computation shows that (P, S) is a positive factorization for a 4$-$code $C = P(A-1)S+1$. The pair $(I, J) = (\{0, 2, 4, 12, 14, 16\}, \{0, 1, 6, 7\})$ is a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$. Moreover, subsets $L_i = L = \{1, 9, 11, 13\}$, $M_j = M = \{2, 3\}$, $J' = 21$ satisfy Eqs.(8.4), (8.5) and M_j, $L_{i,\ell} = L' = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19\}$ satisfy Eq.(8.3). Furthermore we have $a^{R'} = a^{L'}(a-1)a^J + a^J \geq 0$. Thus, there are two Hajós factorizations of $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$ associated with (P, S): the strong Hajós factorization $(T, R') = (\{0, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20\}, \{0, 27, 6, 21\})$ with the corresponding pair $(M, L') = (\{2, 3\}, \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19\})$ and the non strong Hajós factorization $(T, R) = (\{0, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20\}, \{0, 3, 6, 21\})$ with the corresponding pair $(M, L) = (\{2, 3\}, \{1, 9, 11, 13\})$. Notice that $R \cap R' = \{21\}$.

Proposition 8.1 *Let* $P = a^I + \sum_{i \in I} a^i ba^{L_i} + \sum_{i \in I, \ell \in L_i} a^i ba^\ell ba^{L_{i,\ell}}$, $S = a^J + \sum_{j \in J'} a^{M_j} ba^j$, *where* (I, J) *is a Krasner factorization of* $\mathbb{Z}_n$, $J', L_i, M_j, L_{i,\ell}$ *are finite subsets of* $\mathbb{N}$, *for any* i, j, ℓ. *Assume that* L_i, M_j, J' *satisfy Eqs.(8.4), (8.5) and* M_j, $L_{i,\ell}$ *satisfy Eqs.(8.2), (8.3), i.e.,*

$$\forall i \in I \qquad a^{R_i} = a^{L_i}(a-1)a^J + a^J \geq 0, \quad J' \subset \cup_{i \in I} R_i \tag{8.6}$$

$$\forall j \in J' \qquad a^{T_j} = a^{M_j}(a-1)a^I + a^I \geq a^{M_j}(a-1)a^{I_j} + a^{I_j} \geq 0 \tag{8.7}$$

where $I_j = \{i \in I \mid j \in R_i\}$,

$$\forall i \in I, j \in J' \cap J \qquad a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_{i,\ell}} + a^{M_j} \geq 0 \tag{8.8}$$

$$\forall i \in I, j \in J' \setminus J \qquad a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{M_j} \geq 0 \tag{8.9}$$

Then for any $i \in I$ *and* $j \in J'$, $a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_i} + a^{M_j}$ *is a polynomial with coefficients* $0, 1$. *Moreover, suppose that*

$$\forall i \in I, \ell \in L_{i,\ell} \qquad a^{R_{i,\ell}} = a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^J + a^J \geq 0 \tag{8.10}$$

$$\forall i \in I, j \in J', \ell \in L_i \qquad (a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{M_j}, a^\ell) < 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad j \in R_{i,\ell} \tag{8.11}$$

Then (P, S) *is a a positive factorization for a* 4*-code.*

Proof :
Assume that P, S are as in the statement. Since L_i, M_j satisfy Eqs.(8.6), (8.7), for any $i \in I$, $j \in J'$, the polynomial $a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j}+a^{L_i}+a^{M_j}$ has coefficients $0, 1$ (Proposition 5.1). Therefore, if $(a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{M_j}, a^\ell) < 0$ then $\ell \in L_i$ and $(a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{M_j} + a^\ell, a^\ell) \geq 0$.
Let us show that (P, S) is a a positive factorization for a 4-code, i.e., $P(A-1)S + 1 = C \geq 0$. We have to prove that $C_h \geq 0$ for $h \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Of course $C_0 = a^I(a-1)a^J + 1 = a^n \geq 0$ and $C_4 = \sum_{i \in I, \ell \in L_i, j \in J'} a^i b a^\ell b a^{L_{i,\ell}} b a^{M_j} b a^j \geq 0$. Furthermore since L_i, M_j satisfy Eqs.(8.6), (8.7), we have $C_1 \geq 0$ (Lemma 6.1) and since M_j, $L_{i,\ell}$ satisfy Eqs.(8.8), (8.9), we have $C_3 \geq 0$ (Lemma 8.1). It remains to prove that $C_2 \geq 0$. In view of Eqs.(8.10), (8.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 &= \sum_{i \in I} a^i b a^{L_i} b a^J + \sum_{j \in J'} a^I b a^{M_j} b a^j + \sum_{i \in I, j \in J'} a^i b a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} b a^j \\ &+ \sum_{i \in I, \ell \in L_i} a^i b a^\ell b a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^J \\ &= \sum_{i \in I, \ell \in L_i} a^i b a^\ell b a^{R_{i,\ell}} + \sum_{i \in I, j \in J'} a^i b(a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{M_j}) b a^j \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. ■

Proposition 8.2 *Let* $P = a^I + \sum_{i \in I} a^i b a^{L_i} + \sum_{i \in I, \ell \in L_i} a^i b a^\ell b a^{L_{i,\ell}}$, $S = a^J + \sum_{j \in J'} a^{M_j} b a^j$, *where* (I, J) *is a Krasner factorization of* $\mathbb{Z}_n$, $J', L_i, M_j, L_{i,\ell}$ *are finite subsets of* $\mathbb{N}$, *for any* i, j, ℓ. *If* (P, S) *is a positive factorization for a* 4*-code* C *then* L_i, M_j, J' *satisfy Eqs.(8.4), (8.5) and* M_j, $L_{i,\ell}$ *satisfy Eqs.(8.2), (8.3), i.e.,*

$$\forall i \in I \qquad a^{R_i} = a^{L_i}(a-1)a^J + a^J \geq 0, \quad J' \subset \cup_{i \in I} R_i \tag{8.12}$$

$$\forall j \in J' \qquad a^{T_j} = a^{M_j}(a-1)a^I + a^I \geq a^{M_j}(a-1)a^{I_j} + a^{I_j} \geq 0 \tag{8.13}$$

where $I_j = \{i \in I \mid j \in R_i\}$,

$$\forall i \in I, j \in J' \cap J \qquad a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_{i,\ell}} + a^{M_j} \geq 0 \tag{8.14}$$

$$\forall i \in I, j \in J' \setminus J \qquad a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{M_j} \geq 0 \tag{8.15}$$

Hence for any $i \in I$, $j \in J'$, $a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_i} + a^{M_j}$ *is a polynomial with coefficients* $0, 1$. *Moreover, we have*

$$\forall i \in I, \ell \in L_{i,\ell} \qquad a^{R_{i,\ell}} = a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^J + a^J \geq 0 \tag{8.16}$$

$$\forall i \in I, j \in J', \ell \in L_i \qquad (a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{M_j}, a^\ell) < 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad j \in R_{i,\ell} \tag{8.17}$$

PROOF :
Let $P = a^I + \sum_{i \in I} a^i b a^{L_i} + \sum_{i \in I, \ell \in L_i} a^i b a^\ell b a^{L_{i,\ell}}$, $S = a^J + \sum_{j \in J'} a^{M_j} b a^j$, where (I, J) is a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, $J', L_i, M_j, L_{i,\ell}$ are finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, for any i, j, ℓ. Assume $P(A-1)S + 1 = C \geq 0$, thus $C_h \geq 0$ for $h \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Since $C_1 \geq 0$, by Lemma 6.1, L_i, M_j, J' satisfy Eqs.(8.12), (8.13). Hence by Proposition 5.1, for any $i \in I$, $j \in J'$, $a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_i} + a^{M_j}$ is a polynomial with coefficients $0, 1$. In addition, since $C_3 \geq 0$, by Lemma 8.1 M_j, $L_{i,\ell}$ satisfy Eqs.(8.14), (8.15). Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 \;=\; & \sum_{i \in I} a^i b a^{L_i} b a^J + \sum_{j \in J'} a^I b a^{M_j} b a^j + \sum_{i \in I, j \in J'} a^i b a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} b a^j \\ & + \sum_{i \in I, \ell \in L_i} a^i b a^\ell b a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^J \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Assume that there are $i \in I$, $\ell \in L_{i,\ell}$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^J + a^J, a^t) < 0$. Thus

$$\Big(\sum_{i \in I} a^i b a^{L_i} b a^J + \sum_{i \in I, \ell \in L_i} a^i b a^\ell b a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^J, a^i b a^\ell b a^t\Big) < 0$$

Since $C_2 \geq 0$, we have

$$\Big(\sum_{j \in J'} a^I b a^{M_j} b a^j + \sum_{i \in I, j \in J'} a^i b a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} b a^j, a^i b a^\ell b a^t\Big) > 0$$

which yields $(a^{M_j} + a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j}, a^\ell) > 0$, i.e., $(a^{M_j} + a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_i}, a^\ell) > 1$. The last relation is impossible since $a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_i} + a^{M_j}$ is a polynomial with coefficients $0, 1$. Therefore Eqs.(8.16) hold, i.e., for all $i \in I$, $\ell \in L_{i,\ell}$, we have $a^{R_{i,\ell}} = a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^J + a^J \geq 0$. Finally, we have

$$C_2 \;=\; \sum_{i \in I, \ell \in L_i} a^i b a^\ell b a^{R_{i,\ell}} + \sum_{i \in I, j \in J'} a^i b (a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{M_j}) b a^j \geq 0$$

Thus for all $i \in I$, $j \in J'$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{M_j}, a^\ell) < 0$ we know that $\ell \in L_i$ and by the above equation $j \in R_{i,\ell}$, i.e., Eqs.(8.17) hold. ■

Looking at Propositions 8.1, 8.2, we see that two cases may occur: either for any $i \in I$ and $j \in J'$ we have $a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{M_j} \geq 0$ or not. Examples 8.1 and 8.2 from [13] illustrate the first and the second case respectively and point out relations between 4-codes and 3-codes.

Example 8.1 Let us consider the polynomials:

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= a^{\{0,2,4,12,14,16\}} + a^{\{0,2,4,12,14,16\}} b a^{\{1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17,19\}} + \\
&\quad a^{\{0,2,4,12,14,16\}} b a^{\{1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17,19\}} b a^{\{1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17,19\}}, \\
S &= a^{\{0,1,6,7\}} + a^{\{2,3\}} b a^{21}.
\end{aligned}$$

An easy computation shows that the relation $C = P(A-1)S + 1$ defines a $4-$code. In addition, the pair (P', S), with $P' = a^{\{0,2,4,12,14,16\}} + a^{\{0,2,4,12,14,16\}} b a^{\{1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17,19\}}$ defines a $3-$code.

Example 8.2 Let us consider the polynomials:

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= a^{\{0,2,4,12,14,16\}} + a^{\{0,2,4,12,14,16\}} b a^{\{1,9,11,13\}} + \\
&\quad a^{\{0,2,4,12,14,16\}} b a^{\{1,9,11,13\}} b a^{\{1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17,19\}}, \\
S &= a^{\{0,1,6,7\}} + a^{\{2,3\}} b a^{21}.
\end{aligned}$$

We already observed that the relation $C = P(A-1)S+1$ defines a $4-$code. The pair (P', S), with $P' = a^{\{0,2,4,12,14,16\}} + a^{\{0,2,4,12,14,16\}} b a^{\{1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17,19\}}$ defines a $3-$code. On the contrary, the pair (P'', S) with $P'' = a^{\{0,2,4,12,14,16\}} + a^{\{0,2,4,12,14,16\}} b a^{\{1,9,11,13\}}$ does not define a $3-$code.

8.2 The case of the $(p, 4)$-codes

In this section we consider $(p, 4)$-codes C and their positive factorizations (P, S) satisfying item (3) in Lemma 5.2

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= a^I + \sum_{i \in I'} a^i b a^{L_i} + \sum_{i \in I', \ell \in L_i} a^i b a^\ell b a^{L_{i,\ell}}, \\
S &= a^J + \sum_{j \in J'} a^{M_j} b a^j,
\end{aligned}$$

where (I, J) is a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $I', J', L_i, M_j, L_{i,\ell}$ are finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. Assume that $I = \{0\}$, $J = \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ (the case $I = \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$, $J = \{0\}$ may be handled in the same way). Then words in $C_1 = C \cap a^* b a^*$ are defined by the following relation

$$C_1 = b a^{\{0,\ldots,p-1\}} + \sum_{i \in I'} a^i b a^{L_i} (a-1) a^{\{0,\ldots,p-1\}} + \sum_{j \in J'} (a-1) a^{M_j} b a^j \geq 0 \qquad (8.18)$$

Example 8.3 *Let* $p = 3$, $I' = \{0, 3\}$, $L_0 = \{0\}$, $L_3 = \{1\}$, $J' = \{1, 2, 4\}$, $M_1 = \{0, 1, 2\}$, $M_2 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, $M_4 = \{3\}$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
& b a^{\{0,\ldots,p-1\}} + \sum_{i \in I'} a^i b a^{L_i} (a-1) a^{\{0,\ldots,p-1\}} + \sum_{j \in J'} (a-1) a^{M_j} b a^j \\
= \; & b a^{\{0,1,2\}} + (a-1) a^{\{0,1,2\}} b a + (a-1) a^{\{0,1,2,3\}} b a^2 + (a-1) a^3 b a^4 + \\
& b (a-1) a^{\{0,1,2\}} + a^3 b a (a-1) a^{\{0,1,2\}} \\
= \; & b a^3 + a^4 b a^4 + a^4 b a^2
\end{aligned}$$

Example 8.4 *Let* $p = 3$*,* $I' = \{0,3\}$*,* $L_0 = \{0\}$*,* $L_3 = \{1,4\}$*,* $J' = \{1,2,7\}$*,* $M_1 = \{0,1,2\}$*,* $M_2 = \{0,1,2,3\}$*,* $M_7 = \{3,4,5\}$*. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
& ba^{\{0,\ldots,p-1\}} + \sum_{i\in I'} a^i ba^{L_i}(a-1)a^{\{0,\ldots,p-1\}} + \sum_{j\in J'}(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^j \\
= \;& ba^{\{0,1,2\}} + (a-1)a^{\{0,1,2\}}ba + (a-1)a^{\{0,1,2,3\}}ba^2 + (a-1)a^{\{3,4,5\}}ba^7 + \\
& b(a-1)a^{\{0,1,2\}} + a^3ba^{\{1,4\}}(a-1)a^{\{0,1,2\}} \\
= \;& ba^3 + a^6ba^7 + a^4ba^2
\end{aligned}$$

The structure of the words in C_1 has been described in [15]: $C_1 = a^{i_0}ba^{j_0} + \ldots + a^{i_{p-1}}ba^{j_{p-1}}$, where $\{j_0, \ldots, j_{p-1}\} = \{0, \ldots, p-1\} \pmod p$. In order to characterize the other words in C, we need a characterization of subsets I', J', L_i, M_j in Eq.(8.18). This will be given below by some transformations of this equation.

Lemma 8.2 *Let* I', J', L_i, M_j *be finite subsets of* $\mathbb{N}$*, let* $p \in \mathbb{N}$*. For all* $t \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$*, let* $X_i^{(t)} = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid t + xp \in [L_i]_t\}$*,* $Y_t = \{y_j \in \mathbb{N} \mid j = t + y_jp, j \in J'\}$*. Then we have*

$$ba^{\{0,\ldots,p-1\}} + \sum_{i\in I'} a^i ba^{L_i}(a-1)a^{\{0,\ldots,p-1\}} + \sum_{j\in J'}(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^j \geq 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\forall t \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\} \qquad b + \sum_{i\in I'} a^i ba^{X_i^{(t)}}(a-1) + \sum_{y_j\in Y_t}(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^{y_j} \geq 0 \tag{8.19}$$

Proof :
It is easy to see that we have

$$C_1 \;=\; ba^{\{0,\ldots,p-1\}} + \sum_{i\in I'} a^i ba^{L_i}(a-1)a^{\{0,\ldots,p-1\}} + \sum_{j\in J'}(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^j \geq 0$$

if and only if we have

$$\forall t \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\} \qquad ba^t + \sum_{i\in I'} a^i ba^{[L_i]_t}(a^p-1) + \sum_{j\in [J']_t}(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^j \geq 0$$

In turn, for any $h, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$, we also have

$$(ba^t + \sum_{i\in I'} a^i ba^{[L_i]_t}(a^p-1) + \sum_{j\in [J']_t}(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^j, a^hba^{kp+t}) =$$

$$(b + \sum_{i\in I'} a^i ba^{X_i^{(t)}}(a-1) + \sum_{y_j\in Y_t}(a-1)a^{M_j}ba^{y_j}, a^hba^k)$$

and the proof is complete. ■

The structure of finite subsets $I', Y_t, X_i^{(t)}, M_j$ of $\mathbb{N}$ satisfying Eq.(8.19) has been characterized in [14]. This characterization can be obtained by the following lemma.

Lemma 8.3 *[14] Let $h, k \in \mathbb{N}$, let I', Y, X_i, $M_j \subset \mathbb{N}$, with $I' \cup Y \neq \emptyset$, such that*

$$a^h b a^k + \sum_{i \in I'} a^i b a^{X_i}(a-1) + \sum_{j \in Y}(a-1)a^{M_j} b a^j \geq 0$$

Then either $h \in I'$ and $X_h = \{k, k+1, \dots, k+r_0-1\}$ or $k \in Y$ and $M_k = \{h, h+1, \dots, h+r_0-1\}$, with $r_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $r_0 \geq 1$.

Remark 8.1 *[14]* Let I', Y, X_i, $M_j \subset \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$b + \sum_{i \in I'} a^i b a^{X_i}(a-1) + \sum_{j \in Y}(a-1)a^{M_j} b a^j \geq 0$$

Let $I' = \{i_0, \dots, i_k\}$, $Y = \{j_0, \dots, j_h\}$, with $i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_k$, $j_0 < j_1 < \dots < j_h$. Then $min\ X_{i_{v+1}} = max\ X_{i_v} + 1$ (resp. $min\ M_{j_{v+1}} = max\ M_{j_v} + 1$). Therefore the X_i's, M_j's, $\cup_{i \in I'} X_i$, $\cup_{J \in J'} M_j$ are disjoint sets of consecutive integers.

Of course $C_4 = \sum_{i \in I', \ell \in L_i, j \in J'} a^i b a^\ell b a^{L_{i,\ell}} b a^{M_j} b a^j \geq 0$. Furthermore, the structure of the set C_3 of words with three b's in C has been characterized by Lemma 8.1: M_j, $L_{i,\ell}$ satisfy Eqs.(8.2), (8.3). The task of characterizing C_2 remains. We recall that words with two b's in C are defined by the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 \quad = \quad & \sum_{i \in I'} a^i b a^{L_i} b a^{\{0,\dots,p-1\}} + \sum_{j \in J'} b a^{M_j} b a^j + \sum_{i \in I', j \in J'} a^i b a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} b a^j \\ & + \sum_{i \in I', \ell \in L_i} a^i b a^\ell b a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^{\{0,\dots,p-1\}} \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{8.20}$$

Example 8.5 Let p, I', J', L_i, M_j be as in Example 8.3. We have

$$\sum_{i \in I'} a^i b a^{L_i} b a^{\{0,\dots,p-1\}} + \sum_{j \in J'} b a^{M_j} b a^j + \sum_{i \in I', j \in J'} a^i b a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} b a^j$$

$$+ \sum_{i \in I', \ell \in L_i} a^i b a^\ell b a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^{\{0,\dots,p-1\}} = bba^{\{0,1,2\}} + a^3 baba^{\{0,1,2\}} + ba^{\{0,1,2\}}ba + ba^{\{0,1,2,3\}}ba^2 +$$

$$ba^3ba^4 + b(a-1)a^{\{0,1,2\}}ba + b(a-1)a^{\{0,1,2,3\}}ba^2 + b(a-1)a^3ba^4 + a^3ba(a-1)a^{\{0,1,2\}}ba +$$

$$a^3ba(a-1)a^{\{0,1,2,3\}}ba^2 + a^3ba(a-1)a^3ba^4 + \sum_{i \in I', \ell \in L_i} a^i b a^\ell b a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a^3 - 1) =$$

$$b^2 + ba^3ba + ba^4ba^2 + ba^4ba^4 + ba^{\{0,1,2\}}ba + ba^{\{0,1,2,3\}}ba^2 + a^3bab$$

$$+ a^3ba^4ba + a^3ba^5ba^2 + a^3ba^5ba^4 - a^3ba^4ba^4 + \sum_{i \in I', \ell \in L_i} a^i b a^\ell b a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a^3 - 1) < 0$$

Indeed $(\sum_{i \in I', \ell \in L_i} a^i b a^\ell b a^{L_{i,\ell}+3}, a^3ba^4ba^4) = 0$ since $4 \notin L_3$.

Once again, in order to solve Eq.(8.20) we turn it into simpler equations. It is immediate to see that for subsets $I', J', L_i, M_j, L_{i,\ell}$ of $\mathbb{N}$, Eq.(8.20) holds, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 &= \sum_{i\in I'} a^i b a^{L_i} b a^{\{0,\ldots,p-1\}} + \sum_{j\in J'} b a^{M_j} b a^j + \sum_{i\in I', j\in J'} a^i b a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} b a^j \\ &\quad + \sum_{i\in I', \ell\in L_i} a^i b a^\ell b a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^{\{0,\ldots,p-1\}} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i \in I' \setminus 0 \qquad & a^i b a^{L_i} b a^{\{0,\ldots,p-1\}} + \sum_{j\in J'} a^i b a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} b a^j \\ & + \sum_{\ell\in L_i} a^i b a^\ell b a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^{\{0,\ldots,p-1\}} \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{8.21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & b a^{L_0} b a^{\{0,\ldots,p-1\}} + \sum_{j\in J'} b a^{L_0}(a-1)a^{M_j} b a^j + \sum_{j\in J'} b a^{M_j} b a^j \\ & + \sum_{\ell\in L_0} b a^\ell b a^{L_{0,\ell}}(a-1)a^{\{0,\ldots,p-1\}} \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{8.22}$$

Lemma 8.6 states additional conditions that subsets L_i, M_j have to satisfy.

Lemma 8.4 *Let $M = \{m, m+1, \ldots, m+h-1\}$ be a set of consecutive non negative integers, let L be a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $a^L(a-1)a^M + ka^L \geq 0$ then $a^L(a-1)a^M + a^L \geq 0$.*

PROOF :
Let $[L]_- = \{\ell \in L \mid \ell - h \notin L\}$, $[L]_+ = \{\ell + ht_\ell \mid \ell \in [L]_-, \ell + ht_\ell \notin L, \ell + ht \in L, 0 \leq t \leq t_\ell - 1\}$. We have

$$a^L(a-1)a^M + ka^L = a^{L+m}(a^h - 1) + ka^L = a^m a^{[L]_+} - a^m a^{[L]_-} + ka^L$$

Let $(a^m a^{[L]_-}, a^g) > 0$, i.e, $g = m + \ell'$, with $\ell' \in [L]_-$. Assume $(a^m a^{[L]_+}, a^g) > 0$. Then $g = m + \ell' = m + \ell + ht_\ell$, with $\ell + ht_\ell \in [L]_+$. Hence $\ell' = \ell + ht_\ell \in [L]_- \subset L$, which is impossible. Therefore $(a^L, a^g) > 0$. Since $a^m a^{[L]_-}$ is a polynomial with coefficients $0, 1$, we have $a^L(a-1)a^M + a^L \geq 0$. ∎

By a similar argument we can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 8.5 *Let $M = \{m, m+1, \ldots, m+h-1\}$ be a set of consecutive non negative integers, let L be a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $a^L(a-1)a^M + ka^L + a^M \geq 0$ then $a^L(a-1)a^M + a^L + a^M \geq 0$.*

Lemma 8.6 *If $I', J', L_i, M_j, L_{i,\ell}$ are subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ such that Eqs.(8.21), (8.22) hold then*

$$\forall i \in I' \setminus 0, j \in J' \qquad a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_i} \geq 0 \tag{8.23}$$

$$\forall j \in J' \qquad a^{L_0}(a-1)a^{M_j} + a^{L_0} + a^{M_j} \geq 0 \tag{8.24}$$

PROOF :
Let $i \in I' \setminus 0, j \in J', \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $(a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j}, a^{\ell}) = -k_{\ell} < 0$.
Therefore $(a^i b a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} b a^j, a^i b a^{\ell} b a^j) < 0$ and by Eq.(8.21) we have

$$(a^i b a^{L_i} b a^{\{0,\ldots,p-1\}} + \sum_{\ell \in L_i} a^i b a^{\ell} b a^{L_{i,\ell}}(a-1)a^{\{0,\ldots,p-1\}}, a^i b a^{\ell} b a^j) > 0$$

Hence $\ell \in L_i$ and $a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j} + k a^{L_i} \geq 0$, with $k = max\{k_{\ell} \mid (a^{L_i}(a-1)a^{M_j}, a^{\ell}) = -k_{\ell},\ \ell \in L_i\}$. Thus Eq.(8.23) follows by Lemma 8.4. By a similar argument we can state Eq.(8.24). ■

Example 8.6 Let p, I', J', L_i, M_j be as in Example 8.3. We have $a^{L_3}(a-1)a^{M_4} + a^{L_3} = a(a-1)a^3 + a = a^5 - a^4 + a < 0$. Thus L_3, M_4 do not satisfy Eq.(8.23) in Lemma 8.6. Notice that there is no polynomial $P_2 \subset a^*ba^*ba^*$, such that the pair (P, S) is a positive factorization of a finite maximal code, with $P = P' + P_2$, $P' = 1 + \sum_{i \in I'} a^i b a^{L_i} = 1 + b + a^3 ba$, $S = a^{\{0,1,2\}} + \sum_{j \in J'} a^{M_j} b a^j = a^{\{0,1,2\}} + a^{\{0,1,2\}} ba + a^{\{0,1,2,3\}} ba^2 + a^3 ba^4$ (see Example 8.5).

9 Conclusions

In this paper we proved that if (P, S) is a factorization for a finite maximal code C, with $C \cap a^* = a^p$ for a prime number p and $S = S_0 + S_1$ with $\text{supp}(S_1) \subset a^*b \cdot \text{supp}(S_0)$, then (P, S) is positive. We also proved that $(p, 4)$-codes satisfy the factorization conjecture and each factorization (P, S) for a $(p, 4)$-code is positive.

A natural question is to characterize those positively factorizing codes having only positive factorizations. One may conjecture that this is the case for finite maximal codes containing a power of a with a prime exponent. This is a first research direction.

A related problem is to find conditions under which a factorization is positive. In this framework, Hansel and Krob asked the following question, reported in [19]: let $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[a]$ be such that $PQ = 1 + a + \ldots + a^n$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If P has coefficients $0, 1$ then Q also has coefficients $0, 1$.

As a second direction of research for both the above mentioned problems, one can investigate finite maximal codes containing a power of a with a prime exponent and having a factorization (P, S) such that $S = S_0 + S_1 + \ldots S_k$ with $\text{supp}(S_j) \subset a^*b \cdot \text{supp}(S_{j-1})$, for $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. One may ask whether it is still true that P, S are necessarily in $\mathbb{N}\langle A \rangle$. We have already proved that if $S \in \mathbb{N}\langle A \rangle$, with $\text{supp}(S_j) \subset A^*b \cdot \text{supp}(S_0)$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, then P, S have coefficients $0, 1$ (Theorem 4.1).

Concerning the structure of positively factorizing codes, our construction of $(p, 4)$-codes is not complete since we do not give a characterization of subsets $L_{i,\ell}$. More generally, a method for constructing all positively factorizing codes is still lacking (see [13, 14, 15] for conjectures and related problems). In this regard, it could be interesting to look for a generalization of the constructions given in Sections 7, 8.

Another related question is to find conditions under which a set of words C_1 satisfying $C_1 = a^I(a-1)S_1 + P_1(a-1)a^J + a^I b a^J$, where (I, J) is a Krasner pair and P_1, S_1 are finite subsets of a^*ba^*, may be embedded in a factorizing code. Some sufficient conditions have been stated in [10, 12, 14, 15].

Finally, one can investigate whether all the results concerning m-codes can be generalized to alphabets having cardinality greater than two.

References

[1] M.-P. Béal, J. Berstel, B. H. Marcus, D. Perrin, C. Reutenauer and P. H. Siegel, Variable-length codes and finite automata, in: I. Woungang, S. Misra, S. C. Misra (eds), *Selected Topics in Information and Coding Theory*, World Scientific, 2010.

[2] J. Berstel, D. Perrin, C. Reutenauer, *Codes and Automata*, Encyclopedia on Mathematics and its Applications **129**, Cambridge University Press, 2009.

[3] J. Berstel, C. Reutenauer, *Noncommutative Rational Series With Applications*, Encyclopedia on Mathematics and its Applications **137**, Cambridge University Press, 2010.

[4] J. M. Boë, Une famille remarquable de codes indécomposables, in: *Proc. Icalp 78* Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. **62** (1978) 105–112.

[5] J. M. Boë, Sur les codes factorisants, in: D. Perrin (Ed.), *Théorie des Codes, Actes de la 7ème Ecole de Printemps d'Informatique Théorique*, LITP and ENSTA, Paris (1980) 1–8.

[6] J. M. Boë, Sur les codes synchronisants coupants, in: A de Luca (Ed.), *"Non Commutative Structures in Algebra and Geometric Combinatorics"*, Quaderni della Ric. Sc. del C.N.R. **109** (1981) 7–10.

[7] V. Bruyère and M. Latteux, Variable-length maximal codes, in: *Proc. Icalp 96*, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. **1099** (1996) 24–47.

[8] C. De Felice, Construction of a family of finite maximal codes, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 63 (1989) 157–184.

[9] C. De Felice, A partial result about the factorization conjecture for finite variable-length codes, *Discrete Math.* **122** (1993) 137–152.

[10] C. De Felice, An application of Hajós factorizations to variable-length codes, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **164** (1996) 223–252.

[11] C. De Felice, On some Schützenberger Conjectures, *Information and Comp.* **168** (2001) 144–155.

[12] C. De Felice, An enhanced property of factorizing codes, *Theoretical Computer Science* **340** (2005) 240–256.

[13] C. De Felice, On a complete set of operations for factorizing codes, *Theoret. Inform. Appl.* **40** (2006) 29–52.

[14] C. De Felice, Finite completions via factorizing codes, *Intern. Journ. of Algebra and Comput.* **17** (2007) 715–760.

[15] C. De Felice, On factorizing codes: structural properties and related decision problems, *Advances in Applied Mathematics* **39** (2007) 173–196.

[16] C. De Felice, C. Reutenauer, Solution partielle de la conjecture de factorisation des codes, *C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris* **302** (1986) 169–170.

[17] G. Hajós, Sur la factorisation des groupes abéliens, *Časopis Pěst. Mat. Fys.* **74** (1950) 157–162.

[18] M. Krasner, B. Ranulac, Sur une propriété des polynômes de la division du cercle, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris* **240** (1937) 397–399.

[19] D. Krob, G. Hansel, in: V. Bruyère (Ed.) *Research topics in the theory of codes, Bulletin of EATCS* **48** (1992) 412–424.

[20] N. H. Lam, Hajós factorizations and completion of codes, *Theoret. Comput. Sc.* **182** (1997) 245–256.

[21] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Addison Wesley (1978).

[22] D. Perrin, Polynôme d'un code, in: D. Perrin (Ed.), *Théorie des Codes, Actes de la 7ème Ecole de Printemps d'Informatique Théorique*, LITP and ENSTA, Paris (1980) 169–176.

[23] D. Perrin, M. P. Schützenberger, Un problème élémentaire de la théorie de l'information, *"Théorie de l'Information", Colloques Internat. CNRS* **276**, Cachan (1977) 249–260.

[24] A. Restivo, On codes having no finite completions, *Discrete Math.* **17** (1977) 309–316.

[25] A. Restivo, S. Salemi, T. Sportelli, Completing codes, *RAIRO Inform. Théor. App.* **23** (1989) 135–147.

[26] C. Reutenauer, Sulla fattorizzazione dei codici, *Ricerche di Mat.* **XXXII** (1983) 115–130.

[27] C. Reutenauer, Non commutative factorization of variable-length codes, *J. Pure and Applied Algebra* **36** (1985) 167–186.

[28] M. P. Schützenberger, Une théorie algébrique du codage, *Séminaire Dubreil-Pisot* 1955-56, exposé no 15 (1955), 24 pages.

[29] M. P. Schützenberger, *folklore.*

[30] L. Zhang, C. K. Gu, Two classes of factorizing codes - (p, p)-codes and $(4, 4)$-codes, in *"Words, Languages and Combinatorics II"* (M. Ito, H. Jürgensen, eds.), World Scientific (1994) 477–483.